

Review Article

Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria: Biocontrol potential for pathogens

Roomina Mazhar*, Noshin Ilyas, Naveed Iqbal Raja, Maimona Saeed, Mubashir Hussain, Wajiha Seerat, Huma Qureshi and Sumera Shabir

Department of Botany PMAS, Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi-Pakistan

*Corresponding author's email: roominamazhar83@gmail.com

Citation

Roomina Mazhar, Noshin Ilyas, Naveed Iqbal Raja, Maimona Saeed, Mubashir Hussain, Wajiha Seerat, Huma Qureshi and Sumera Shabir. Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria: Biocontrol potential for pathogens. Pure and Applied Biology. Vol. 5, Issue 4, pp1288-1295. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19045/bspab.2016.50154>

Received: 20/06/2016

Revised: 06/10/2016

Accepted: 12/10/2016

Online First: 02/12/2016

Abstract

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are groups of free living Rhizospheric bacteria which can enhance plant growth under normal as well as stress conditions. One of the major issues of agricultural crops is a reduction in yield by different pathogens, which affect many staple crops. So there is a need to develop some strategies for preventing the crops from different pathogens. Several PGPR such as *Pseudomonas*, *Azospirillum*, *Rhizobium* and *Bacillus* species can promote plant growth under stress condition by suppressing the growth of pathogenic organisms. PGPR stimulate plant growth by various mechanisms, for example induce systemic resistance. PGPR produce siderophores, antibiotics and degrade virulence factor against pathogenic attack, which inhibits the growth of pathogenic and protect the plant. In spite of the importance of PGPR in crop production system present review focuses on different ways adopted by PGPR to prevent crops from pathogen and increase their yield.

Keywords: PGPR; Biocontrol; Pathogen; Rhizosphere

Introduction

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria are mostly symbiotic bacteria or free living in nature and most often present in rhizosphere of plants which exert a positive effect on plant by their biocontrol activity against pathogenic organisms. PGPR can suppress the broad range of pathogenic microbes including virus, bacteria and fungi. PGPR are considered to be an important biocontrol tool in various parts of the world, but this is applicable at experimental level and need to be explored at field level [1].

In this context PGPR are focal point of many agronomists and microbiologist due to their mitigation potential against a range of

biotic stresses [2]. Various species of genus *Pseudomonas* [3] *Bacillus* [4] and *Azospirillum* were tested for important economic crops [5]. Recently, several attempts have been made for the development and application of inoculants for disease management [6] and stress tolerance in plants [7].

Rhizosphere microorganisms impart direct effect on plants through biofertilization and phytostimulation. The mechanisms involved are phosphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, synthesis of phytohormones and availability of other nutrients in soil [8]. By several indirect mechanisms microbes also impart positive effect on plants by

decreasing population density of pathogens through lysis, production of several metabolites hyperparasitism and antibiosis. PGPR most often act by induction of induce systemic resistance, detoxification and degradation of virulence factors, siderophores production, synthesis of antibiotics through quorum sensing and detoxification of virulence factors [9, 10]. These biocontrol mechanisms are adopted by microbes for competing other microbes for space and nutrients which indirectly support the plant for better growth and tolerance towards biotic stress.

Plant-microorganism interactions;

Ecological implications

The interactions among plant and microbes often occurs in rhizospheric soil which can improve growth and plant environmental adaptation . Soil-borne microbes colonize the roots of plants at the root-soil interface, heterotrophic biota obtained food from root exudates and decaying plant material as carbon source [11]. The bacterial community most often resides in the rhizoplane and rhizosphere. A large amount of different amino acids, organic acids and vitamins are released in surrounding soil as seed starts to germinate. The accumulation of these attractive compounds leads towards a shift in greater microbial diversity as than before inducing competition [12]. The bacterial communities living in close vicinity of one particular plant species may vary greatly with the bacterial communities residing with any other plant [11].

There are several groups of microbes including aerobic, anaerobic and micro aerobes associated with the plant roots, rhizosphere. Some of them live or associated with various plant tissues such as roots stem, seeds and tubers [13]. Another important bacterial group, the endophytic bacteria most often colonize the internal tissues of host plants and are very important for biocontrol of various diseases of plants as they are

growing in the same tissue or organ which is effected by the pathogen [14]. Diazotrophic bacterial species are large group of plant associated bacteria that do not form any nodule like structures including *Azospirillum* species [15]. Diazotrophic bacteria can positively effects the plant growth and development and defend plant from pathogenic attack rather than present in less numbers [16]. However, some members of these bacterial group including *Bacillus*, *Azospirillum* (*A. brasilense*) and *Pseudomonas* (*P. fluorescens*) may colonize the internal surfaces of roots [17].

The importance of PGPR as biocontrol agents for production of crops systems is just beginning and PGPR support growth in several agronomic important crops and not only acts as biofertilizer but can enhance plant growth under stress conditions [13]. Few of the biocontrol mechanism are elaborated here in this review as including the followings.

Defense mechanisms (ISR) mediated by PGPR

Non-pathogenic rhizosphere bacteria after inoculation can activates certain signaling pathways when receives any pathogenic or stress stimulus, this stimulus also produce pathogen resistance in host , the whole mechanism is termed as induce systemic resistance. Systemic response in plants can be induced by activating plant defense mechanisms due to many rhizosphere microorganisms. Various chemical and physical changes are induced due to several PGPR in host plant in reaction to abiotic and biotic stress conditions. The term induced systemic tolerance may also be used for the changes occurring in plants due to PGPR. *Bacillus* is well studied to induce ISR under abiotic stress condition [18].

Once any PGPR receives any abiotic or biotic stress or attacked by any pathogen, PGPR activates the synthesis of various plant defense chemicals which leads towards

or fortify the plant metabolic responses, fortify plant cell wall and induce changes in physiology of host plant [19, 20]. After challenge with a pathogen the bacterized plant response induced changes at pathogenic attack site such as the formation of structural barriers due to callose deposition and phenolic compounds accumulation [21].

Accumulation of certain phenolic compounds and strengthening of cell wall in several cortical cell layers was observed during the endophytic colonization of the bacterium in a host defense reaction in PsJN-grapevine *Burkholderia phytofirmans* interaction [22]. In tomato plant after inoculation with endophytic *P. fluorescens* WCS417r the outermost part of the radial side of the first layer cell walls and the outer tangential walls got thickened when hypodermal or epidermal cells were colonized [23]. Accumulation of pathogenesis-related proteins (PR proteins) such as PR-1, PR-2, peroxidases and chitinases, are also part of physiological or biochemical changes in plants. Induction of PR proteins is not only part of the defense mechanism rather than production of such pathogenesis related proteins several bacteria accumulate a considerable or significant amount of phytoalexins, polyphenol oxidase, chalcone synthase and peroxidases [24, 20]. The synthesis of above plant defense compounds activated by the same molecules including *N*-acyl homoserine lactones which are used for quorum sensing/ cell signaling mechanisms [25]. The genes involved in biosynthesis of these defense related compound e.g. chalcone synthase are homologous with the plant defense related genes under stress conditions. This revelation is thus intriguing but still there is possibility that the products of these DeVries-like pathogens may have interspecies activity benefiting plant protection [26, 27]. In fact there is an

intercommunication between the metabolic pathways of plant defense and other stress responses [28].

Degradation and Detoxification of virulence factors

Detoxification effect due to pathogen virulence factor is one of the biocontrol mechanisms. For example different microbes elicit the ability to degrade or detoxify the pathogenic compounds secreted in or around the vicinity of that microorganisms. Furthermore the co-inoculation of these microbes with plants strengthen the defensive mechanism against several pathogenic microbes. Strains of *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *B. cepacia* has the ability to degrade the fusaric acid phytotoxin which is released by various *Fusarium* species [29].

Biocontrol activity is most often exhibited by various pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains for species survival and competition for space and nutrients. Every microbe most often has several signaling molecules for sensing the presence of other microbes in vicinity as well as several virulence factors for its own survival by killing the microbe nearby. Several pathogenic microbes exhibit broad spectrum pathogenic activity against biocontrol agents acts as self-defense methods by detoxifying the antibiotics secreted by biocontrol microorganisms and hence suppress the overall growth. The *Xanthomonas albilineans* produce albicidin toxin could be detoxified by several other bacterial strains. Production of proteins is reported in various microbes such as *Alcaligenes denitrificans*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* during detoxification mechanism moreover, in *Pantoea dispersa* irreversible detoxification of albicidin mediated by an esterase also occurred [30].

In planta, production of antibiotics is reported by endophytic *P. fluorescens* strain FPT 9601 in tomato roots where it can secrete DAPG. The ability to degrade any

virulence factor or inhibition of pathogenic growth most often decreases with the colonization of interior parts of host tissues for example in potato tubers, it may lead towards the hypothesis that the adaptation of bacterial strains may be tissue or site specific within their host [31]. Genes for virulence factors can be turned on due to auto inducers mediated quorum sensing in bacterial plant pathogens [32]. PGPR have the ability to reduce pathogen quorum sensing capability for stopping the gene expression of various pathogenic or virulence genes by degrading auto inducers signals. This approaches tremendous potential for cure of different diseases and can be manifested even after onset of diseases [33].

Free-living rhizobacteria have the ability to synthesize various allelic chemicals for biocontrol activity this similar mechanism is also exhibited by endophytic bacteria as these bacteria can also produce certain compounds with antagonistic activity [34]. Antibiotics mumbicins, created by the endophytic bacterium *Streptomyces* sp. strain NRRL 30562 can inhibit in vitro growth of phytopathogenic fungi, *F. oxysporum* and *P. ultimum* [35].

Siderophore Production

Iron is an essential element for almost all living organisms to run their all metabolic processes smoothly. A furious competition may arise in soil due to unavailability or scarcity of available iron to the soil microbiota as well as for plant. To maximize the availability and adsorption of iron from soil microbes have adapted several mechanisms to cope up with these conditions which in turn leads towards stability in that regimes. Low molecular weight compounds termed as “siderophores” are excreted in soil by PGPR to absorb ferric ion under iron limiting conditions [6]. Siderophores are released by microbes through active transport mechanisms to scavenge the iron

in form of $^{+3} \text{Fe}$ [36]. Several siderophores are biosynthesized independently and some are non-ribosomal peptides in nature [37]. For iron acquisition pathogenic bacteria are also dependent upon siderophores. Among several siderophores secreted by microbes for example enterobactin one of the strongest binders of iron [38]. *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter* genera and *Pseudomonas* are some of the isolated gram negative bacteria which secrete siderophores under iron limiting conditions, in gram positive bacteria the *Rhodococcus* genera are quite efficient [39]. Siderophores production can also act as biocontrol mechanism which can deprive pathogenic fungi for iron as scarcely bioavailable element [40].

Antibiosis

Foundation of antibiosis as a biocontrol tool of PGPR has become increasingly better developed from last two decades [41]. Small, squat molecular weight heterogeneous substances that inhibit the metabolic and growth functions of other microbes are termed as antibiotics [42]. Antibiotic production plays an important role for pathogenic inhibition and plant defense mechanism e.g. six antibiotic groups including phloroglucinols, pyrrolnitrin, pyoluteorin, phenazines, cyclic lipopeptides and hydrogen cyanide acts as inhibitors of root diseases [43].

Furthermore, wide range of antibiotics or antibiotic related substances produced by PGPR such as kanosamine, oligomycin A, xanthobaccin and zwittermicin A, produced by *Stenotrophomonas* spp, *Streptomyces*, and *Bacillus* [21]. Amphisin, oomycin A, tropolone, phenazine, 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG), pyrrolnitrin, tensin, and cyclic lipopeptides produced by *pseudomonas*. Not only the biocontrol defense purposes these antibiotics are also very crucial for certain pathogenic diseases and can be used as new experimental pharmaceuticals [44].

Plant growth promoting bacteria colonize the root rhizosphere and protects important crop plants from several broad spectrum microbes by production of antibiotics such as 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol produced by fluorescent *pseudomonas*. A very important and vigorously studied antibiotic (DAPG) decrease the impact of phytophthora spp. by suppressing the zoospores [45]. Damping of disease as a result of *Rhizoctonia solani* which effects the cotton plant can be decreased by Pyrrolnitrin, the antibiotic produced by the *P. fluorescens* BL915 strain, *F. oxysporum* and *Gaeumannomyces graminis* both of plant pathogen could be killed by Phenazine, exerted by *pseudomonas*, [46]. *Bacillus* spp. secretes antibiotics such as colistin, polymyxin and circulin have strong potential to kill certain pathogenic fungi and gram negative and positive bacteria [47]. Phenazine-1-carboxamide, secreted by isolated strain *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 from roots of tomato plants have the potential to solubilize iron [48, 43]. Biocontrol of alfalfa disease can be done by *B. cereus* UW85 strain which produce kanosamine and zwittermicin A [49]. With respect to usage of PGPR as a tool in a biological solution, *Paenibacillus* spp, and *Bacillus* are frequently documented these strains can show more population stability when used as inoculums [50].

Conclusion

Biocontrol mechanisms exhibited by PGPR are of intensive importance for plants growth and metabolism. The mechanisms exerted by PGPR can elicit or induce resistance and have essential functions in microbial antagonisms. These antagonistic and resistant inducing bacteria might be helpful in offering an alternative environmentally friendly biofertilizers formulation of new inoculants for disease management and improving crop systems.

Authors' contributions

Wrote the paper: R Mazhar & M Saeed, Arranged the paper: N Ilyas, NI Raja & M Hussain, Reviewed the paper: W Seerat, H Qureshi & S Shabir.

References

1. Somers E, Vanderleyden J & Srinivasan M (2004). Rhizosphere bacterial signalling: A love parade beneath our feet. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 30: 205-240.
2. Siddiqui Z (2006). PGPR: Prospective Biocontrol Agents of Plant Pathogens. *PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization* 111-142.
3. Meyer JB, Lutz MP, Frapolli M, Pechy-Tarr M, Rochat L, Keel C, Défago G & Maurhofer M (2010). Interplay between wheat cultivars, biocontrol pseudomonads, and soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 76: 6196-204.
4. Kokalis-Burelle N, Kloepper JW & Reddy MS (2006). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as transplant amendments and their effects on indigenous rhizosphere microorganisms. *Appl Soil Ecol* 31(12): 91-100.
5. Dobbelaere S, Vanderleyden J & Okon Y (2003). Plant growth-promoting effects diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 22: 107-149.
6. Whipps JM 2001. Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. *J Exp Bot* 52(Suppl 1): 487-511.
7. Nadeem SM, Zahir ZA, Naveed M & Arshad M (2007). Preliminary investigations on inducing salt tolerance in maize through inoculation with rhizobacteria containing ACC-deaminase activity. *Can J Microbiol* 53: 1141-1149.
8. Burdman S, Jurkevitch E & Okon Y (2000). Recent advance in the use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in agriculture. In: *Microbial Interaction In Agriculture Forestry*, Vol

- II, Subba Rao NS & Dommergues YR 229-250.
9. Castro-Sowinski S, Herschkovitz Y, Okon Y & Jurkevitch E (2007). Effects of inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on resident rhizosphere microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 276: 1-11.
 10. Ramette A, Frapolli M, Fischer-Le Saux M, Gruffaz C, Meyer JM, Défago G, Sutra L & Moëgne-Loccoz Y (2011). *Pseudomonas protegens* sp. nov., widespread plantprotecting bacteria producing the biocontrol compounds 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol and pyoluteorin. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 34: 180-8.
 11. Bisseling T, Dangl JL & Schulze-Lefert, P (2009). Next-Generation Communication. *Science* 324: 691-691.
 12. Okon Y & Labandera-Gonzales CA (1994). Agronomic application of *Azospirillum*: an evaluation of 20 years worldwide field inoculation. *Soil Biol Biochem* 26: 1591-1601.
 13. Surette MA, Sturz AV, Lada RR & Nowak J (2003). Bacterial endophytes in processing carrots (*Daucus carota* L. var. *sativus*): their localization, population density, biodiversity and their effects on plant growth. *Plant and Soil* 253: 381-390.
 14. Bulgari D, Casati P, Brusetti L, Quaglino F, Brasca M, Daffonchio D & Bianco PA (2009). Endophytic bacterial diversity in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) leaves described by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and length heterogeneity-PCR. *J Microbiol* 47: 393-401.
 15. Rosenblueth M & Martínez-Romero E (2006). Bacterial endophytes and their interactions with hosts. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions. Phytopathology* 19: 827-837.
 16. Long HH, Schmidt DD & Baldwin IT (2008). Native Bacterial Endophytes Promote Host Growth in a Species-Specific Manner; Phytohormone Manipulations do not result in common growth responses. *PLOS One* 3: 2702.
 17. Mano H & Morisaki H (2008). Endophytic Bacteria in the Rice Plant. *Microbes and Environments* 23: 109-117.
 18. Chakraborty U, Chakraborty B & Basnet M (2006). Plant growth promotion and induction of resistance in *Camellia sinensis* by *Bacillus megaterium*. *J. Basic Microbiol* 46: 186-195.
 19. Nowak J & Shulaev V (2003). Priming for transplant stress resistance in in vitro propagation. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant* 39: 107-124.
 20. Ramamoorthy V, Viswanathan R, Raguchander T, Prakasam V & Smayiyappan R (2001). Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. *Crop Prot.* 20: 1-11.
 21. Mpiga P, Belanger RR, Paulitz TC & Benhamou N (1997). Increased resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* in tomato plants treated with the endophytic bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain 63-28. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 50: 301-320.
 22. Compant S, Reiter B, Sessitsch A, Nowak J, Clément C & Ait Barka E (2005). Endophytic colonization of *Vitis vinifera* L. by a plant growthpromoting bacterium, *Burkholderia* sp. strain PsJN. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71: 1685-1693.
 23. Duijff BJ, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Lemanceau P (1997). Involvement of the outer membrane lipopolysaccharides in the endophytic colonization of tomato roots by biocontrol *Pseudomonas*

- fluorescens* strain WCS417r. *New Phytologist* 135: 325–334.
24. Chen C, Belanger RR, Benhamou N & Paulitz TC (2000). Defense enzymes induced in cucumber roots by treatment with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and *Pythium aphanidermatum*. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 56: 13–23.
 25. Mathesius U, Mulders, Gao MS, Teplitski M, Caetano-Anolles G, Rolfe BG & Bauer WD (2003). Extensive and specific responses of a eukaryote to bacterial quorum-sensing signals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 1444–1449.
 26. Austin MB & Noel AJP (2003). The chalcone synthase superfamily of type III polyketide synthases. *Nat Prod Rep* 20: 79–110.
 27. Yang J, Kloepper JW & Ryu CM (2009). Rhizosphere bacteria help plants tolerate abiotic stress. *Trends Plant Sci* 14: pp.1-4.
 28. Dimkpa C, Weinan T & Asch F (2009). Plant-rhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions. *Plant Cell Environ* 32: 1682-1694.
 29. Molina-Favero C, Creus CM, Simontacchi M, Puntarulo S & Lamattina L (2008). Aerobic nitric oxide production by *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp245 and its influence on root architecture in tomato. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 21: 1001-1009.
 30. Duffy B, Schouten A & Raaijmakers JM (2003). Pathogen self-defense: mechanisms to counteract microbial antagonism. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 41: 501–538.
 31. Sturz AV, Christie BR & Nowak J (2000). Bacterial endophytes: potential role in developing sustainable systems of crop production. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 19: 1–30.
 32. Von Bodman SB, Bauer WD & Coplin DL (2003). Quorum sensing in plant-pathogenic bacteria. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 41: 455–482.
 33. Dong YH, Zhang XF, Xu JL & Zhang LH (2004). Insecticidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* silences *Erwinia carotovora* virulence by a new form of microbial antagonism, signal interference. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70: 954–960.
 34. Chernin L & Chet I (2002). Microbial enzymes in biocontrol of plant pathogens and pests, p. 171–225. In R. G. Burns and R. P. Dick (ed.), *Enzymes in the environment: activity, ecology, and applications*. Marcel Dekker, New York, N.Y.
 35. Castillo UF, Strobel GA, Ford EJ, Hess WM, Porter H, Jensen JB, Albert H, Robison R, Condrón MAM, Teplow DB, Steevens D & Yaver D (2002). Munumbicins, wide-spectrum antibiotics produced by *Streptomyces* NRRL 30562, endophytic on *Kennedia nigricans*. *Microbiology* 148: 2675–2685.
 36. Miethke M & Marahiel M (2007). Siderophore-based iron acquisition and pathogen control. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 71(Suppl 3): 413–451.
 37. Challis GL (2005). A widely distributed bacterial pathway for siderophore biosynthesis independent of non-ribosomal peptide synthetases. *Chem biochem* 6(4): 601-11.
 38. Raymond KN, Dertz EA, Kim SS (2003). Enterobactin: an archetype for microbial iron transport. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 3584–3588.
 39. Tian F, Ding Y, Zhu H, Yao L & Du B (2009). Genetic diversity of siderophore-producing bacteria of tobacco rhizosphere. *Brazilian J Microbiol* 40 (Suppl 2): 276-284.
 40. Pedraza R, Motok J, Tortora M, Salazar S & Díaz-Ricci J (2007). Natural occurrence of *Azospirillum brasilense*

- in strawberry plants. *Plant and Soil* 295: 169-178.
41. Lugtenberg B & Kamilova F (2009). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 63:541-55.
 42. Duffy B (2003). Pathogen self-defense: Mechanisms to counteract microbial antagonism. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 41: 501-38.
 43. Haas D & Défago G (2005). Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3: 307-319.
 44. Wang C, Knill E, Glick BR & Defago G (2000). Effect of transferring 1-aminocyclopropane-1- carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase genes into *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHAO and its *gacA* derivative CHA96 on their growth promoting and disease suppressive capacities. *Can J Microbiol* 46: 898–907.
 45. De Souza JT, Arnould C, Deulvot C, Lemanceau P, Gianinazzi- Pearson V & Raaijmakers JM (2003). Effect of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol on *Pythium*: Cellular responses and variation in sensitivity among propagules and species. *Phytopath* 93: 966-975.
 46. Chin-A-Woeng TF, Bloemberg GV & Lugtenberg BJ (2003). Phenazines and their role in biocontrol by *Pseudomonas* bacteria. *New Phytol* 157: 503-523.
 47. Maksimov IV, Abizgil'dina RR & Pusenkova LI (2011). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as alternative to chemical crop protectors from pathogens (Review). *Appl Biochem Microbiol* 47: 333-345.
 48. Hernandez ME, Kappler A & Newman DK (2004). Phenazines and other redox-active antibiotics promote microbial mineral reduction. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 70: 921-928.
 49. Silo-Suh LA, Lethbridge BJ, Raffel SJ, He H, Clardy J & Handelsman J (1994). Biological activities of two fungistatic antibiotics produced by *Bacillus cereus* UW85. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60: 2023-2030.
 50. Kokalis-Burelle N, Kloepper JW & Reddy MS (2005). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as transplant amendments and their effects on indigenous rhizosphere microorganisms. *Appl Soil Ecol* 31: 91-100.