Response of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) extract type, concentration and application time to weeds weight, grain and biomass yield of Wheat
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Abstract
High crop yield depend upon effective weeds control through commercial herbicides. However, use of commercial herbicides may pollute environment and underground water. Toxicity and resistance development against commercial herbicides demand for exploring alternative methods for weeds control. Aim of this study was to evaluate effect of sorghum water extract on weeds weight and yield of wheat cultivar “Atta Habib 2010”. Research was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm, University of Agriculture Peshawar in 2014-15. Sorghum stem (SS) and leaf (SL) extracts with three concentrations (1:3, 1:4 and 1:5 kg L−1) and three application time (emergence, tillering and 50% at emergence + 50 at tillering) were used. Herbicides (H) treated, hand weeding (HW) and unweeded plots were included for comparison. H treated plots produced less weeds weight (128.3 g m−2), higher leaf area index (LAI) (4.0), more spikes m−2 (350), heavier thousand grains (51 g) and more biomass yield (BY) (10333 kg ha−1) compared to sorghum water extract sprayed plots. Among concentrations (C), sorghum water extracts sprayed at 1:3 concentration gave lower weeds weight (257 g m−2), more LAI (3.4), more spikes m−2 (320) heavier thousand grains (46 g) and higher BY (9789 kg ha−1). Amongst application time (AT), sorghum water extracts applied at tillering resulted in low weeds weight (256 g m−2), higher LAI (3.2), more spikes m−2 (315), higher thousand grains (45 g) and more BY (9621 kg ha−1). SL extracts gave less weeds weight (269 g m−2), more LAI (3.2), more spikes m−2 (314), heavier thousand grains (45 g) and higher BY (9576 kg ha−1) compared with SS extracts. It is concluded that leaf water extract applied at tillering with 1:3 concentration reduced weeds weight and increased thousand grain weight of wheat and is recommended for suppressing weeds weight and enhancing thousand grain weight of wheat in agro climatic conditions of Peshawar.
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Introduction
Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is a major cereal crop, grown on irrigated and rainfed regions in Pakistan [1]. It was planted on 9.1 million ha area with production of 25.9 million tons having an average yield of 2.82 tons ha\(^{-1}\) in Pakistan [2]. Wheat yield is very low compared with other wheat producing countries of the world [2]. Amongst other factors reducing wheat yield, weeds infestation is the main but less identified constraint in Pakistan [3]. Weeds are unwanted plants and most universal pest which share nutrients, light, moisture, space and carbon dioxide with crops [4]. Major infesting weeds of wheat fields in Pakistan are field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*), wild oat (*Avena fatua*), canary grass (*Phalaris minor*), annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*), broad leaf dock (*Rumex dentatus*), lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*), and Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) [5]. About 25% losses due to weeds infestation have been reported worth Rs. 120 billions [6], while wheat only accounts for Rs 30 billion [7].

Modern farming is yield oriented and mostly depends on synthetic chemicals for reducing weeds. However, injudicious application of synthetic herbicides resulted in many problems such as underground water pollution, health and environmental hazards [8]. Sorghum being a potential allelopathic crop contains many allelochemicals that reduces weeds growth [9]. Different secondary metabolites such as phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids and terpenoids were observed in sorghum herbage [10]. Phenolics compounds identified in sorghum are benzoic acid, caffeic acid, coumaric acid, syringic acids, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid and ferulic acid [11]. These phenolics compounds are considered as phytotoxic and they suppressed density and growth of weeds [12].

Though sorghum water extract is a cheap and environment friendly technology, however its effectiveness to reduce weeds density is beyond the desired level of weed reduction (80%). Foliar application of sorghum water extract reduced weed density and dry weeds weight by 15 to 17% and 19 to 49% respectively [13]. In low quantity allelochemicals showed stimulatory effect, whereas in high amount allelochemicals proved inhibitory reaction toward weeds germination and weeds growth [14]. Keeping in view the importance of huge losses caused by weeds in wheat the present study was therefore undertaken to investigate the effects of sorghum extract type, concentration and application time on weeds weight, grain and biomass yield of wheat in agro-climatic conditions of Peshawar valley.

Materials and methods
Field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan during Rabi season 2014-15 with randomized completely block design replicated thrice. A plot size of 5.4 m\(^2\) (3 x 1.8 m) having six rows three meter long with 30 cm apart rows were used. Atta Habib-2010 wheat cultivar with seed rate of 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was planted on 27\(^{th}\) November 2014 as a test crop with the help of seed drill and was harvested on 15\(^{th}\) May 2015 with the help of hand sickle. A basic dose of 120 kg nitrogen (N) and 90 kg phosphorus (P) were applied using Urea and DAP (di ammonium phosphate) as source of N and P. All the P (P\(_2\)O\(_5\)) and half of N were applied at sowing and the remaining half of N was applied with first irrigation. Sorghum stem and leaf water extract was applied as foliar spray with knapsack hand sprayer over weeds. No weeds check was performed and no spray was applied in control plots. Manual hand weeding was done by uprooting the weeds in hand weeded plots. Post emergence herbicides Buctril super...
60EC (bromoxynil+MCPA) @ 1.5 L a.i ha\(^{-1}\)
and Puma Super 75EW (fenoxaprop-P-ethyl) @ 1.25 L a.i ha\(^{-1}\) were applied 45
days after sowing in herbicides treated plots as broad leaf and narrow leaf weeds respectively. Rest of the agronomic and cultural practices were kept uniform for all experimental units. The experiment was composed of following three factors.

A) Sorghum extract type (SET)
   i. Leaf water extract (LWE)
   ii. Stem water extract (SWE)

B) Extract concentration (C) (kg L\(^{-1}\))
   i. \(C_1 = 1:3\)
     (1 kg sorghum herbage in 3 liters of water)
   ii. \(C_2 = 1:4\)
     (1 kg sorghum herbage in 4 liters of water)
   iii. \(C_3 = 1:5\)
     (1 kg sorghum herbage in 5 liters of water)

C) Application time (AT)
   i. \(AT_1\) = Emergence (E)
   ii. \(AT_2\) = Tillering (T)
   iii. \(AT_3\) = 50 % at E + 50 % at T

Sorghum water extract preparation
Mature sorghum plants were collected from Agronomy Research Farm, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan. The stem and leaf herbage of these plants were separated and sun dried for few days. Sun dried stems and leaves were chopped into 2-3 cm pieces with electric fodder chopper machine separately and stored under shade to avoid possible leaching by rainfall. Chopped stem and leaf herbage were separately soaked in distilled water in 1:3 (1 kg each of stem and leaf herbage and 3 liters water), in separate container for 36 hours at room temperature. The mixture (sorghum herbage and water) was sieved through muslin cloth to remove sorghum crop herbage and to obtain the respective stem and leaf water extract of sorghum. These extract were diluted with distilled water to prepare other concentrations (1:4 and 1:5 kg L\(^{-1}\)) of stem and leaf extract according to the treatments. Fresh leaf and stem water extract were prepared for each application time.

Treatments were applied in the following combinations.

- \(T_1\) = Control (No weed check and no spray)
- \(T_2\) = Hand weeding (Manual hand weeding by uprooting weeds)
- \(T_3\) = Herbicides application (Buctril Super @ 1.5 L ha\(^{-1}\) and Puma Super @ 1.25 L ha\(^{-1}\))
- \(T_4\) = LWE @ 1:3 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_5\) = LWE @ 1:3 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_6\) = LWE @ 1:3 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T
- \(T_7\) = LWE @ 1:4 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_8\) = LWE @ 1:4 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_9\) = LWE @ 1:4 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T
- \(T_{10}\) = LWE @ 1:5 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_{11}\) = LWE @ 1:5 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_{12}\) = LWE @ 1:5 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T
- \(T_{13}\) = SWE @ 1:3 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_{14}\) = SWE @ 1:3 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_{15}\) = SWE @ 1:3 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T
- \(T_{16}\) = SWE @ 1:4 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_{17}\) = SWE @ 1:4 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_{18}\) = SWE @ 1:4 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T
- \(T_{19}\) = SWE @ 1:5 applied at emergence (E)
- \(T_{20}\) = SWE @ 1:5 applied at tillering (T)
- \(T_{21}\) = SWE @ 1:5 applied 50 % at E + 50 % at T.

Data measurements
Data were recorded by using standard methods according to weeds fresh weight, leaf area index, spikes m\(^{-2}\), thousand grains weight and biomass yield. For obtaining data on fresh weight of weeds the number of weeds in a meter row at two separate spots were uprooted, cleaned and weighed with digital balance to record fresh weight of weeds in gram m\(^{-2}\). Weeds fresh weight data was recorded two times (i.e. 70 and 90 days after sowing) in each plot and then averaged for further analysis. Leaf area index (LAI)
data was calculated by using the given formula.

\[
\text{LAI} = \frac{\text{Leaf area tiller}^{-1}}{\text{Ground area tiller}^{-1}}
\]

Data regarding spikes m\(^{-2}\) was recorded by counting the number of spikes in one meter row at two separate spots randomly in each plot and then averaged. The equation used for calculating spikes m\(^{-2}\) was as under.

\[
\text{Spikes m}^{-2} = \frac{\text{Spikes counted in a meter row}}{0.3 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \times 1}
\]

For thousand grain weight data, weight of two representative samples of thousand grains were obtained from each experimental unit at random and then averaged. Seeds were counted with electronic seed counter machine and weighed with electric balance to recorded 1000 grain weight in grams (g). For biomass yield data three middle rows were harvested manually with the help of sickle. The harvested rows were bundled, tied and sun dried for some days. The total weight in kilograms of sun dried bundles were calculated and converted into biomass yield kg ha\(^{-1}\) by applying the given equation.

\[
\text{Biomass} = \frac{\text{Central three rows biomass} \times 10000}{0.3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m} \times 3}
\]

**Statistical analysis**

Data were statistically analyzed according to the procedure described by [15] for randomized complete block design and means were separated by least significant differences test (P < 0.05) upon significant F test.

**Results and discussions**

**Weeds fresh weight (g m\(^{-2}\))**

Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time significantly affected weeds fresh weight of wheat, whereas all the interactions were non-significant (Table 1). Herbicides sprayed plots produced less weeds weight (128.3 g m\(^{-2}\)) compared with sorghum water extract (SWE) sprayed plots (276.0 g m\(^{-2}\)) (Table 2). Similarly, hand weeded plots and SWE sprayed plots resulted in lower weeds weight (165.0 g m\(^{-2}\)) compared with control plots (451.7 g m\(^{-2}\)). Mean values for sorghum extract type showed that leaf water extract produced lower weeds weight (268.5 g m\(^{-2}\)) compared with stem water extract (283.4 g m\(^{-2}\)). Mean values for concentration showed that lower weeds weight (257.4 g m\(^{-2}\)) was produced with 1:3 concentration while, more weeds weight (296.5 g m\(^{-2}\)) was obtained with 1:5 concentration. Application time indicated that SWE applied at tillering gave lower weeds weight (255.7 g m\(^{-2}\)), while SWE applied 50% at emergence + 50% at tillering resulted in more weeds weight (295.0 g m\(^{-2}\)). Minimum weeds weight in herbicides treated and hand weeded plots may be due to the lower weeds recorded in herbicides treated and hand weeded plots. These results are in line with [1, 16, 17] who reported that herbicides treatments were most effective in weeds control. Concentrated extract reduced weed density, which ultimately resulted in lower weeds weight. Our results are in line with [14, 18, 19] who concluded that lower concentration of SWE exhibit stimulatory effects on growth and germination of some weeds while higher concentration exhibit inhibitory effects on their germination. Similar results were reported by [1, 17, 20] who observed lower weeds weight by 25, 22 and 42 % respectively with SWE foliar application.

**Leaf area index**

Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time significantly affected leaf area index (LAI) of wheat, whereas all the interactions were non-significant (Table 1). Herbicides sprayed plots produced more LAI (4.02) compared with sorghum water extract sprayed plots (3.17) (Table 2). Similarly, hand weeded plots and SWE sprayed plots resulted in higher LAI (3.75) compared with control plots (1.92). Mean values for concentration showed that high LAI (3.35) was produced with 1:3
concentrations. LAI decreased with each increase in concentration and lower LAI (3.00) was recorded with 1:5 concentrations. SWE applied at tillering gave more LAI (3.23), whereas SWE applied 50 % at emergence + 50 % at tillering resulted in less LAI (3.11). Leaf water extract produced higher LAI (3.20) compared with stem water extract (3.14). Significant increase in LAI with herbicides application, HW and sorghum extract sprays may be attributed to production of greater leaf area in herbicides treated, hand weeded and SWE sprayed plots respectively. These results are in line with [21-24] who reported significant increased in LAI with application of SWE and herbicides.

**Spikes m\(^2\)**

Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time significantly affected spike m\(^2\) of wheat, whereas all the interactions were non-significant (Table 1). Herbicides sprayed plots gave more spikes m\(^2\) (350.3) compared with SWE sprayed plots (312.6) (Table 2). Similarly, hand weeded plots and SWE sprayed plots produced more spikes m\(^2\) (342.3) compared with control plots (259.3). Mean values for concentration showed that fewer spikes m\(^2\) (305.4) were noted with 1:5 concentrations. Spikes m\(^2\) increased with each decrease in concentration and more spikes m\(^2\) (320.1) were recorded with 1:3 concentrations. SWE applied at tillering produced more spikes m\(^2\) (315.2), whereas SWE sprayed 50 % at emergence + 50 % at tillering gave less spikes m\(^2\) (310.2). Leaf water extract gave more spikes m\(^2\) (313.8) compared with stem water extract (311.3). The greater number of spikes m\(^2\) with herbicides application might be due to the high phytotoxic effect of herbicides on weeds, while the lower number of spikes m\(^2\) with SWE spray may be attributed to their less phytotoxic effect on weeds as compared with herbicides. The least number of spikes in control plots might be due to higher weed competition of wheat plant with weeds for resources i.e. nutrients, water, space and light etc. These results are in line with [16, 25, 26] who reported significant increase in spikes m\(^2\) of wheat with H application and allelopathic leaf water extract of different plants.

**Thousand grain weight (g)**

Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time significantly affected thousand grain weight of wheat, whereas all the interactions were non-significant (Table 1). Herbicides sprayed plots produced more thousand grain weight (50.7 g) compared with SWE sprayed plots (44.5 g) (Table 2). Likewise, hand weeded plots and SWE sprayed plots resulted in heavier thousand grain weight (49.0 g) compared with control plots (38.0 g). Mean values for concentration showed that more thousand grain weight (46.3 g) was resulted with 1:3 concentration. Thousand grain weight of wheat decreased with each increase in concentration and less thousand grains weight (46.3 g) was recorded when with 1:5 concentration. SWE sprayed at tillering gave more thousand grain weight (45.2 g), which is statistically at par with SWE applied at emergence resulted in (44.7 g) thousand grain weight of wheat, while less thousand grain weight (43.5 g) was recorded with SWE applied as 50 % at emergence + 50 % tillering. Leaf water extract resulted in more thousand grain weight (44.8 g) compared with stem water extract (44.2 g). The possible reason for higher thousand grain yield with herbicides application, HW and SWE spray might be due to the less competition of wheat plants with weeds for nutrients and other resources like light, water and space in these plots and may enhanced the exploitation of nutrients and resources from soil which ultimately produced heavier grains of wheat. Lower thousand grain weight in control plots might be due to the more competition of wheat
crop with weeds for resources like nutrients, moisture, and light etc. These results are line with the findings of [1, 13, 27] who reported that herbicides treated plots produced heavier grain weight of wheat than hand weeding and SWE. Heavier grains were also reported by [17, 28, 29] with SWE compared with unweeded. **Biomass yield (kg ha⁻¹)**

Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time significantly influenced biomass yield of wheat, whereas all the interactions were non-significant (Table 1). Herbicides sprayed plots gave heavier biomass yield (10333.3 kg ha⁻¹) compared with SWE sprayed plots (9653.4 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 2). Similarly, hand weeded plots and SWE sprayed plots produced more biomass yield (10040.0 kg ha⁻¹) compared with control plots (7766.7 kg ha⁻¹). Mean values for concentration showed that higher biomass yield (9788.9 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 1:3 concentrations. Biomass yield of wheat decreased with each increase in concentration and less biomass yield (9250.0 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with 1:5 concentrations. SWE sprayed at tillering gave more biomass yield (9620.6 kg ha⁻¹), while SWE applied 50% at emergence + 50% tillering gave lower biomass yield (9448.9 kg ha⁻¹). Leaf water extract produced more biomass yield (9576.3 kg ha⁻¹) compared with stem water extract (9488.5 kg ha⁻¹). The higher biomass yield with herbicides application, HW and SWE spray might be due to the reduced weed density in these plots which enhanced wheat growth and produced more tillers and spikes m⁻², heighted plants and more leaf area tiller⁻¹ etc and thus resulted in overall increase in biomass yield. The lower biomass yield in control plots may be attributed to the least number of tiller m⁻² due to higher weed density and more competition of wheat crop with weeds for resources. These results are in line with the findings of [24, 26, 30, 31] who reported higher biomass yield with herbicides and allelopathic water extract foliar application compared with control.

**Table 1. Mean square for weeds fresh weight, leaf area index, spikes m⁻², thousand grains weight and biomass yield of wheat as affected by Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation (SOV)</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Weeds weight (g m⁻²)</th>
<th>Leaf area index</th>
<th>Number of spikes (m⁻²)</th>
<th>Thousand grain weight (g)</th>
<th>Biomass yield (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replications</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>22011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11436 **</td>
<td>0.459 **</td>
<td>878 **</td>
<td>23.8 **</td>
<td>751885 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum Extract type (SET)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2889 **</td>
<td>0.054 **</td>
<td>83 **</td>
<td>5.0 *</td>
<td>104016 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extract Concentration (C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6659 **</td>
<td>0.561 **</td>
<td>977.8 **</td>
<td>72.3 **</td>
<td>1315879 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Time (AT)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8889 **</td>
<td>0.064 **</td>
<td>126.7 **</td>
<td>13.1 **</td>
<td>132902 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.462 NS</td>
<td>0.000 NS</td>
<td>4.019 NS</td>
<td>0.17 NS</td>
<td>2205 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x AT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.018 NS</td>
<td>0.000 NS</td>
<td>0.130 NS</td>
<td>0.02 NS</td>
<td>505 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C x AT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.462 NS</td>
<td>0.002 NS</td>
<td>7.546 NS</td>
<td>0.15 NS</td>
<td>2193 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x C x AT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.463 NS</td>
<td>0.000 NS</td>
<td>0.435 NS</td>
<td>0.06 NS</td>
<td>219 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand weeding x Sorghum water extract</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36089 **</td>
<td>0.972 **</td>
<td>2338.6 **</td>
<td>58.2 **</td>
<td>732269 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbicides applications x Sorghum water extract</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>63396 **</td>
<td>2.050 **</td>
<td>4036 **</td>
<td>108.9 **</td>
<td>1823160 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control x Sorghum water Extracts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86029 **</td>
<td>4.441 **</td>
<td>8078 **</td>
<td>119.2 **</td>
<td>8861230 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>8506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = Significant at 5% level of probability, ** = Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Non-significant
Table 2. Shows mean values for weeds fresh weight (g m\(^{-2}\)), leaf area index, spikes m\(^{-2}\), thousand grains weight (g) and biomass yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) of Wheat as affected by Sorghum extract type, concentration and application time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Weeds weight (g m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Leaf area index</th>
<th>Number of spikes (m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Thousand Grains weight (g)</th>
<th>Biomass yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum Extract Type (SET)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf water extract</td>
<td>268.5</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>313.9</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>9576.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem water extract</td>
<td>283.4</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>313.9</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>9488.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extract Concentration (C) (kg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>257.4 c</td>
<td>3.35 a</td>
<td>320.2 a</td>
<td>46.3 a</td>
<td>9788.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>274.0 b</td>
<td>3.16 b</td>
<td>312.2 b</td>
<td>44.8 b</td>
<td>9558.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>296.5 a</td>
<td>3.00 c</td>
<td>305.5 c</td>
<td>42.3 c</td>
<td>9250.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>62.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Time (AT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergence (E)</td>
<td>277.2 b</td>
<td>3.17 b</td>
<td>312.3 b</td>
<td>44.7 a</td>
<td>9527.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillering (T)</td>
<td>255.7 c</td>
<td>3.23 a</td>
<td>315.4 a</td>
<td>45.2 a</td>
<td>9620.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 % at E + 50 % at T</td>
<td>295.0 a</td>
<td>3.11 c</td>
<td>310.2 c</td>
<td>43.5 b</td>
<td>9448.9 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>62.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Mean Comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>451.7</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>259.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>7766.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum water extract</td>
<td>276.0</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>312.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>9532.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand weeding</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>341.3</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>10040.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbicides application</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>350.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>10333.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x C</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x AT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C x AT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET x C x AT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means of the same category followed by different letter (s) are significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 level using LSD test. NS = Non-Significant

**Conclusion**

It is concluded that sorghum leaf water extract applied with 1:3 concentration sprayed at tillering reduced weeds weight and produced heavier grains of wheat.
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