Vancomycin-resistant enterococci in Saudi Arabia: prevalence, antibiotic resistance and susceptibility array
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Abstract

Vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE) are an important cause of nosocomial infection and may result in increased morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised populations particularly in developing countries. The aim of the present study was to reassess the definition of hospital-acquired Enterococci, with particular emphasis on the relevance of recent hospitalization. Total 228 Enterococci clinical samples were collected from different hospitals of Saudi Arabia. Sampling was performed following sub culturing, strains isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Out of 228 Enterococci clinical isolates isolated in this study, 186 (82%) were susceptible to vancomycin, 17 (7%) were resistant and 25 (11%) were intermediate. Most of VRE isolated in our study were from Jeddah hospitals 11%. The most common infections caused by Enterococci in current study showed urinary tract infection (56.6%) followed by blood (12.3%) and wound infection (11.4%). Proportion of 87% and 13% Enterococci isolated in this study were from Saudi and non-Saudi patients respectively and were calculated statistically non-significant (p-value=0.4). This limited study exhibited a high pervasiveness rate of multiple antibiotic resistant Enterococci infections among hospitalized patients in this environment. There is need for precise observations of clinics and hospitals for Enterococci infections; prudent use and rational prescription of antimicrobials and stringent measures to reduce the prevalence rate by health education on infection control measures such as isolation, cleaning, disinfection and sterilization.
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Introduction

Enterococci are hardy, facultative anaerobic Gram positive cocci in pairs or short chains that are capable to grow and survive in many environments [1]. They are part of normal intestinal flora of humans and animals but may be responsible for serious infections. Of the 20 Enterococcus species [2], 2 species are particularly pathogenic to man; Enterococcus faecalis causes 85-90% of Enterococci infections while Enterococcus faecium causes 5-10% [3].
Enterococci are among the most frequent causes of nosocomial infection, particularly in intensive care units (ICU) where they are selected by treatment with cephalosporin and other antibiotics to which they are resistant. They are transmitted from individual to individual primarily on the hand of hospital personnel (hospital acquired), some of whom may carry the organism in their gastrointestinal tracts. Less frequently, Enterococci are transmitted on medical devices. Meningitis and bacteraemia while endocarditis may occur in neonates and adults respectively. Enterococci infection is equally distributed between sexes, although urinary tract infections (UTI) are more common in healthy women than men and in elderly patients due to high incidence of urinary instrumentation [4].

Hospital-acquired (HA) infective endocarditis (IE) constitutes 9%-29% of all cases of IE [5] and has expanded in frequency in recent years to more prominent utilization of intrusive strategies in clinics. Community-acquired (CA) infections due to Enterococci are on ascent because of more utilization of broad spectrum antimicrobials. Moreover, since VRE from animal sources such as poultry and human foods of animal origin assume critical part role in human colonization and infection, a huge level of VRE colonization may be found among persons not linked with the health care setting [6].

VRE are basic reason for nosocomial infection and might bring about expanded dreariness and mortality in immunocompromised populaces. Enterococci accounted 14.7% and 7.1% of UTI and blood infection respectively. Enterococci clinical isolates indicate less assorted qualities besides those found in earth and human based sources, among E. faecalis most prevalent and overwhelming species. The explanation behind this absence of differing qualities might be connected with the harmfulness elements connected with this species [7]. Enterococci though are resistant to antibiotic, although a few species (e.g., E. faecium) are more characteristically safe than others. Low partiality tying protein expression triggers as a result of diminished helplessness to penicillin and ampicillin as huge imperviousness to most cephalosporin anti-infection agents [8].

Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole is proposed for dermatitis and delicate tissue infections brought about by Enterococci [9]. Abnormal state aminoglycoside resistance, ordinarily intervened by aminoglycoside-altering proteins, has been found in Enterococcal isolates [10]. Imperviousness to fluoroquinolones, in abundance of the humble inborn imperviousness found over numerous Enterococci, exists after effect of modifications in compounds included in reduplication of DNA [11]. Further basic procured hereditary cognitive factors present imperviousness to macrolides, tetracycline and chloramphenicol. Chromosomal transformations might deliver imperviousness to rifampin (rifampicin) and fusidic corrosive. Diverse sorts of VRE have been depicted on genetic and appearance bases [12].

Clinical disengages of Enterococci ought to be screened for vancomycin resistance. Agar screening plates provide straightforward, simple test for vancomycin resistance and are prescribed by the national board of trustees for clinical research facility gauges [13-14]. Imperviousness to direct and significant groupings of vancomycin is effectively identified by standard powerless testing strategies.

Objective
The aim of the present study was to reassess the definition of hospital-acquired Enterococci, with particular emphasis on the relevance of recent hospitalization.

Materials and methods

Samples collection
A total number of 228 Enterococci clinical isolates were collected in this study from different hospitals of Saudi Arabia. Sampling was performed following urine, wound, high vaginal swab (HVS), bone, blood, eyes, abdominal and placental swabs, pleural fluid, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), sputum, stool and fetal part.

**Sub culturing of specimens and isolation of strains**
Specimens were sub cultured on blood and bile esculin agar under complete aseptic conditions and incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C to grow single pure colonies for performing gram stain and catalase test. All isolated bacteria were preserved at -80°C in an eppendorf tubes containing 1 ml brain heart infusion broth along 16% glycerol.

**Identification of bacteria and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST)**
Preserved bacteria were sub cultured in a suitable media and incubated accordingly to obtain pure culture. Ampicillin, gentamycin, vancomycin, tetracycline and ciprofloxacin were used for susceptibility testing. Around 3 ml of clean saline (fluid 0.45% NaCl, pH 7) was put into a reasonable plastic test tube. By then adequate number of morphologically comparative states of immaculate microbes was exchanged to a tube containing the saline to make homogenous suspension with an identical thickness of McFarland (No.0.50-0.63) using calibrated VITEK2 DENSICHEK. The tube was then put in the tape with the recognizable proof card and information passage. To another tube containing 3 ml of saline, 280 ml of the suspension prepared for AST-GP was then transferred. The tube was then put in the anti-infection agents weakness tape, the recognizable proof GP depends on 43 biochemical tests measured carbon source usage, enzymatic actions and resistance. For the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) technique, AST card contains 64 micro wells were used. While control well was occupant on all card with the remaining wells containing premeasured measures of particular antimicrobials consolidated with culture medium. MIC values were determined for each antimicrobial contained on the card after a defined period of time (about 18 hrs).

**Data analysis**
Data was statistically analyzed in Microsoft Excel (ver 2010) by p values. Data was tabulated using a Fisher test (Graph Pad Instat program statistical software). P-values computed < 0.05 were considered as significant.

**Results**
A total of 228 Enterococci clinical isolates were isolated in this study and tested for vancomycin susceptibility. In this study 186 (82%), 17 (7%) and 25 (11%) Enterococci isolates were found to be susceptible, resistant and intermediate respectively. Clearly, Enterococci were isolated mostly from urine (129 isolates), blood (28 isolates) and wound samples (26 isolates). This indicates that the common infections caused by Enterococci in our study were mainly UTI followed by blood stream and wound infection (Figure 1). Most VRE isolated in our study were from Jeddah (11%) followed by Makkah (9%) and Taif hospitals (3%) (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Distribution of Vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) isolates from different samples

Figure 2. Distribution of VRE isolates from different cities

Data regarding the VRE isolates from different wards of hospital displayed the higher number of Enterococci (41 isolates) from intensive care unit (ICU), 40 from female medical ward (FMW), 32 from male medical ward (MMW), 18 from surgery, 16 from pediatric, 8 from orthopedic, 5 from nephrology, 4 from hemodialysis, 3 from OBS/Gyn and 1 from antenatal wards. 100% Enterococci isolates were found to be
sensitive collected from Nephrology, OBS/Gyn, antenatal wards followed by FMW, surgery, ICU, MMW, hemodialysis, pediatric and orthopedic ward, while both the ICU and pediatric wards exhibited 12% Enterococci isolates followed by 9.4% in MMW and 5% in FMW (Figure 3).

**Figure 3. Distribution of VRE isolates among different hospitals wards**

**Figure 4. Distribution of VRE isolates among age groups**
Current data deduced that out of the 228 Enterococci isolates in this study, 95 were from male patients and 11 (12%) of them were VRE, while 133 were from female patients and 6 (4.5%) of them were VRE, however the mean difference in VRE between genders was quiet statistically non-significant (p-value = 0.07). Out of the 228 Enterococci isolated in this study, 204 were from Saudi patients and 14 (7%) of them were VRE, while 24 were from non-Saudi patients and 3 (13%) of them were VRE. Regarding nationalities statistically non-significant difference was reported (p-value=0.4). About 58.8% and 41.2% of Enterococcal infections in our study accounted for age above 50 and less than 50 years respectively. Difference in VRE between age groups was found statistically non-significant (p-value = 1) (Figure 4). Regarding AST it was concluded that 69.75%, 48.2%, 42.6%, 66.6% and 7% of the Enterococci isolates were found resistant to ampicillin, gentamycin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline and vancomycin respectively (Figure 5).

**Figure 5. VRE Antibiotics susceptibility**

**Discussion**

Hazard factors for VRE colonization consist of host attributes (immunosuppression, neutropenia, and renal deficiency) [15], hospice factors (ICU area or oncology ward, vicinity to a VRE-colonized patient and extended length of stay) [16-17] and antimicrobial application [18]. VRE colonization and relocation independently increases a patient’s risk of developing infections, such as bloodstream infections (BSIs) [19]. VRE, BSI is generally linked with the belated administration of ample antimicrobials. The classification of risk factors and outcomes of VRE, BSI is critical for establishing strategies for managing neutropenic fever in patients with hematological malignancies [20]. It has been demonstrated that vancomycin resistance increases mortality in patients with Enterococcal BSI [21-22]; however, controversy remains [15, 23-24].

*E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* are generally viewed as life forms of moderately low destructiveness, in spite of the fact that intrusion can bring about UTI, meningitis and intra-stomach diseases. Antimicrobial drugs resistance is a developing general health issue and multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens such as VRE are increasing
worldwide [25]. The pervasiveness of VRE in our study was 7% as compared to the previous reports in neighboring country [26]. The most common infections caused by Enterococci in our study were UTI (56.6%) followed by BSI (12.3%) and wound infection (11.4%). Present results strongly supported by previous findings [27]. Literature also deduces that blood was the most common infections caused by Enterococci followed by UTI and wound infections [28]. Most of VRE infections found in our study were isolated from pediatric wards (12.5%) followed by ICU (12.3%). Highest rate of VRE occurred predominantly in ICU as supported by preceding conclusions [29].

In the current study, the mean age of Enterococci-infected patients ranged 44 ± 21 years. However, literature suggested mean age of Enterococci-infected patients ranged from 26.9 ± 21.9 years [30]. Present study showed proportion of 58.8% Enterococcal infections in patients with age less than 50 years as compared with 41.2% in patients above 50 years of age which is also supported by previous findings [31]. Enterococcal infections based upon gender differences in present study revealed proportion of 64.7% and 35.3% of from male and female patients respectively. Statistically non-significant difference was measured between genders. VRE infection affects more commonly male gender as that of female gender [32].

MDR becoming prominent worldwide among pathogen and abatement the choices for clinicians in the infections. MDR is a standout amongst the most critical normal for the Enterococci. High mortality rates of Enterococcal infections are because of the challenges included in the treatment of MDR pathogens [33]. Because of less effectiveness of dynamic transport over the cytoplasmic layer, Enterococci are characteristically impervious to low levels of aminoglycosides [34]. Aminoglycosides alone are viewed as latent in Enterococcal medication and are typically consolidated with cell inhibitors divider amalgamation which might encourage their further endorsement [35].

In current study, gentamycin expressed significant resistance against Enterococci. Comparative congenital association of gentamicin alone beside two strains of E faecalis was contemplated in vitro which fundamentally decreased the quantity of surviving microscopic organisms [36]. Our study shows that the characteristic action of gentamicin ought to be considered in concentrating on different aminoglycosides based medications and specialists dynamic against the cell divider, for example, ampicillin or vancomycin. Ciprofloxacin (fluoroquinolones) have an expansive range for gram-positive and gram-negative microscopic organisms. For UTI fluoroquinolones is recommended and standard medication [37]. Besides, fluoroquinolones have been utilized partially or completely to treat E. faecalis related UTIs. Therefore, the rise of fluoroquinolone-safe E. faecalis strains has been accounted for few nations [38]. Our outcomes appeared even noteworthy affectability of ciprofloxacin against Enterococci which is firmly bolstered and prove by past results. Along these lines, Ciprofloxacin is no more endorsed treatment for E. faecalis based UTI [39].

Resistance to tetracycline is frequent in clinical isolates of Enterococci. The presence of strains resistant to tetracycline has also been described in diverse animal based food origin [40, 41]. In Enterococci, tetracycline resistance is generally associated to the presence of the gene tet(M) which confers ribosomal protection, but other related genes affording ribosomal protection [42].
In current study, tetracycline showed increased resistance level against Enterococci. Previous studies also reported poor activity of tetracycline against antimicrobials especially in periodontal pockets infected with \textit{E. faecalis} and hence sometimes it seems to be ineffective therapeutic agent against \textit{E. faecalis} [42]. Among orally managed anti-infection agents, ampicillin, amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin showed set apart in vitro inhibitory action against periodontal \textit{E. faecalis}, and might be clinically valuable in treatment of periodontal diseases including Enterococci [42].

Current study concludes that antimicrobials based drugs like tetracycline articulated higher resistance against \textit{Enterococci} followed by tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, gentamycin and vancomycin while increased activity of sensitivity was analyzed in antimicrobials viz; vancomycin followed by ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, tetracycline and ampicillin against Enterococci. Based upon the inference it is concluded that antimicrobial vancomycin has the higher sensitivity with least resistance against Enterococci. The role of these drugs according to their resistance and sensitivity levels can be manipulated and wrought by clinicians against Enterococci based infections in future.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Findings regarding prevalence and persistence of VRE among clinical isolates will help the clinician to estimate the extent of the problem of resistance among enterococci and ultimately help to design the appropriate clinical preventive measures.
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