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Physiological response of different groundnut genotypes under semi-arid condition of Quetta Balochistan, Pakistan
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Abstract
The groundnut genotypes including; BARI- 2011, BARD- 479, PG- 1102, PG- 492, PG- 1104 and Golden were assessed for agronomic stricture and yield stability at Quetta climatic condition during 2014. These genotypes were trialed in randomized complete block design with four replications. All the physiological parameters investigated among the groundnut genotypes showed significant variation. The genotype BARI- 2011 showed maximum yield potential (1653.3kg ha⁻¹) fallowed by BARD- 479 (1415.0kg ha⁻¹). Genotypes BARI- 2011 also demonstrated the high-quality consequence in the form of number of pod plant⁻¹ (91.33), length of 20 pods (75.00 cm), and 100 kernels weight (145.0 g) whereas the genotypes PG-1104 indicated smallest amount of dry pod yield (880.0kg ha⁻¹). The numbers of pod plant⁻¹ (55.0) were showed lowest by PG- 492 whereas the length of 20 pods (65.33cm) and weight of 100 kernels (86.67g) was noted lowest in genotypes PG-1104. So based on yield potential, number of pod plant⁻¹, length of 20 pods and weight of 100 kernels, the genotype BARI-2011 is suggested for wide-ranging farming in the semi-arid climatic condition of Quetta region and can be used for further breeding of groundnut.
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Introduction
Groundnut is the most important summer season cash crop in the world. It is grown-up in healthy drained sandy loam soils of Pakistan [1]. It is considered as a significant oil seed crop as well as foodstuff and feed crop. Its seed is full of both oil (43-55%) and protein (25 - 28%) [2]. In Pakistan its utilization is as roasted nuts, salting and in confectionery and its haulm is essential by-product used for livestock feed. Groundnut is potential oil seed crop for the arid region
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and during 2008-2009, in Pakistan it was grown on an area of 980 hundreds hectare with a production of 85,500 tones and yield of 921 Kg/ha [3]. Rain fed area of about 58% of Balochistan remains fallow during summer season, due to which, there is the low annual income from the rain fed lands and an increased soil erosion problem during monsoon rains. The groundnut which is considered a drought tolerant high-income product and atmospheric gracious crop can be an alternate crop for the areas. In Pakistan the low yield of groundnut crop has been attributed due to the diverse climatic conditions and use of low yielding varieties [4].

Many investigators investigated the creative potential of groundnut genotype in diverse agro-ecological regions. The 12 groundnut genotypes were assessed at three locations in Malakand division and accounted important disparity for its attributes and yield [5]. Six groundnut genotypes were also investigated at Lasbella, Balochistan, Pakistan and reported major dissimilarity for the yield over the years and within the year [6]. On average, the maximum yield of 1341 kg ha\(^{-1}\) given by the variety ICGS (E)-46 within consistent maturity and pod yield1225 kg ha\(^{-1}\) as a minimum was recorded for the variety ICGS (E)-95. The groundnut variety R-8808 was examined at five locations (Dhawad, Lelgaum, Raichur, Bellary and Bijapur) at Karanatka, India and produced 30% (1300 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) extra yield than the verified variety JL-24 (1000 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) [7]. At Mumbai, India the groundnut variety TAG-24 was investigated and found to significantly maximum pod yield of 2665 kg ha\(^{-1}\) besides the local verified variety with 2032 kg ha\(^{-1}\) out of 11 varieties trial [8]. It was also reported by the researcher that the cross JL-24 x Co-2 gave normal pod yield of 1790 and 2060 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in rain fed and irrigated circumstances, respectively at Uther Pradesh, India [9]. For the maturation the crop needs 100-105 days. A trial carried out on 11 groundnut genotype reported that the genotypes K-134, ICGS-65 and ICGS-44 were probably to be more flexible in rain-fed situation of Northern Coastal zone of Andhra Pradesh, India [10]. The 13 genotypes of groundnut were investigated for two years at ARS, Swat, Pakistan and it was found that the genotypes SP-96 (4078 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), ICGS 99 kg ha\(^{-1}\), ICGS 18 (4042 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and BARD-699 (4016 Kg ha\(^{-1}\)) gave considerably the maximum pod yield [11]. A large seeded groundnut variety for Brazil (BRS-151 Amendoim L 7) produced standard pod yield 1850 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in rain fed and 4500 kg ha\(^{-1}\) under irrigated circumstances [12]. An early groundnut, the Nonghua-22 was tested at four sites in China and accounted pod yield of 4021 and 4116 kg ha\(^{-1}\) [13]. Other 20 genotypes groundnut variety were investigated at Swat, Pakistan and it was found that the genotypes, ICGS 7326 (3611 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), ICGV 86028 (3798 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), BARD 479 (3889 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), PG 542 (3889 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), ICGS 50 (3889 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and Cina (4528 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), gave appreciably the highest pod yield besides the Swat Phalli-96 (check) with 2409 kg ha\(^{-1}\) [14].

The present study was carried out to assess the six high yielding groundnut genotypes under the Quetta climatic condition for commercial cultivation.

**Materials and methods**

**Cultivation**

For the cultivation the seeds of six genotypes groundnut including Golden, BARI- 2011, BARD- 479, PG- 1102, PG-492, PG- 1104 and Golden were received from NARC, Islamabad and BARI, Chakwal. The experiment was done at Agriculture Research Institute Sariab Quetta (ARISQ) in 2014. Seeds were sown with the help of single row cotton drill in first week of April. The application of Randomized Completed Block design with four replications was made and plot size was kept...
at 4 m x 1.8 m. The space between the rows was kept 45 cm. Before sowing the fertilizers such as Urea, Nitrophos and SOP were practiced at the rate of 60 – 60 – 25 NPK kg ha⁻¹. At flowering stage Gypsum was used by at 500 kg ha⁻¹. For proper irrigation the water was given for 6 times till maturity. Insecticides were applied on proper time as requirement. During the course of trial such parameters as Days to maturity, Pods plant⁻¹, 20-pods length (cm), 100-kernels weight (g) and Pod yield (kg ha⁻¹) were recorded and twenty plants were selected randomly from each plot and labeled and at maturity the digging of pods were started.

**Data collection and analysis**

**Days to maturity**

For counting days to maturity the method described by Khan et al. [15] was used. At the end of flowering stage in each subplot, 10 plants were uprooted. The numbers of mature pods were considered when they showed dark brownish and yellow veins on the inner side of shell with brownish yellow kernel testa. When the plants showed more than 60% growth the mature pods were counted from the total. It was considered best time for recording maturity data as noted by Khan et al. [4].

**Number of pods plant⁻¹**

For the pods plant⁻¹ data, randomly 20 plants were chosen from each plot and on average basis the numbers of pods plant⁻¹ were recorded and counted.

**Weight of 100-kernels**

The weight of 100 kernels was measured as a seed size. It was the weight of 100 seeds from each plot in grams.

**Length of 20-pods**

For recording data about the length of pods 20 plants were selected arbitrarily from each plot. The measuring scale was used for the measurement of pods length. The pods of selected plants were positioned in vertical beside the measuring scale. The measurement was done in centimeter.

**Yield of pod (kg ha⁻¹)**

For recording the data about pod yield (kg ha⁻¹), methods described by Khan et al. [15] was used. The plants were harvested from each plot then pods were dehydrated in sun and weighed for pod yield per subplot. The yield was changed into kg ha⁻¹ by means of following formula given by Khan et al. [15].

\[
\text{Pod yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \left(\frac{\text{Pod yield plot}^{-1} \text{ (kg)}}{\text{Plot size (m}^2\text{)}}\right) \times 10,000 \text{ m}^2
\]

**Statistical Analysis**

For the statistically analysis of data the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used [16]. The consequences of differences among the means were compared by using Least Significant Difference (LSD) Test [17].

**Results and discussion**

**Days to maturity**

The result illustrated in Table 1 indicated that all the investigated genotypes groundnut were significant concerning to their number of days taken for maturity. In the present study the groundnut such as BARI-2011 was matured former (132.33 days) than others and the greatest days (161.0 days) were taken for maturity by the genotype PG-1104. However Zamurrad et al. [18] reported that, genotypes PG-1102 and PG-1092 were matured earlier as compared to others genotypes when they were investigating the performance based evaluation of groundnut genotypes under medium rainfall conditions of chakwal. Ahmed and Rahim [19] also noted non-significant variations among groundnut genotypes for maturity but a significant difference existed for plant height. Nath and Alam [20] resulted low genotypic co-efficient of variation for the maturity days. The finding of other researchers [6, 21-22] supported these results as they reported significant variation in maturity time among different groundnut genotypes.
Number of pods plant$^{-1}$
The data regarding number of pods plant$^{-1}$ revealed significant differences in number of pods plant$^{-1}$ as shown in Table 1. Similar results were also reported by other workers [18, 19] as they pointed out significant differences for pod yield, 100 kernel weight and pods plant$^{-1}$. In present investigation the minimum number of pods plant$^{-1}$ (55.0) were recorded from the genotypes groundnut PG-492 and Maximum were (91.33) in BARI-2011, followed by genotypes groundnut PG-1102 (70.33). These results were supported by other researchers [18] as they indicated the maximum number of pods (45) for BARI-2011, followed by PG-1102 (42.3) while PG-1104 had minimum No. of pods plant$^{-1}$ (21). Current findings are also recognized by other workers [18, 19]; they noticed significant differences for pod yield, 100 kernel weight and pods Plant$^{-1}$. Karkannavar et al. [23] indicated that seed trailed was heritable as the variation regarding pods per plant and pod yield among different varieties could be explained in the form of pods plant$^{-1}$. Shah et al. [24] reported as the yield is positively correlated with pods plant$^{-1}$ and found BARI-2011 and PG-1102 with higher number of pods plant$^{-1}$ produced higher pod yield.

Length of 20-pods
Data presented in Table 1 for the 20 pods length indicated a significant variation between ranges of genotypes. The investigation of Zamurrad et al. [18] also showed significant variation for twenty pods length. The genotypes groundnut diversity BARI-2011 showed greatest 20-pods length (75.0 cm) which followed by BARD-479 (72.0 cm) and the genotypes variety of PG-1104 had lowest (65.33 cm) 20-pods length. However Zamurrad et al. [18] during investigation for the performance based evaluation of groundnut genotypes under medium rainfall conditions of Chakwal, reported the maximum 20-pods length (72 cm) for the varieties PG-1092 and BARI-2011, while check variety Golden had minimum (57 cm) 20-pods length. The variation in pod height/length may be attributed to specific genetic characteristics of these varieties. The finding of other investigators Khan et al. [15] matched with the present results as they reported important differences in twenty pods length among groundnut genotypes. Genetic properties of each types played important role for pod length. While this could also be brought to change in the soil structure, texture and soil fertility [19].

Weight of 100-kernels
Data about the weight of 100-Kernels demonstrated important diversity. The lowest 100-kernels weight (86.67g) was documented for the genotypes PG-1104, while highest 100-kernels weight was recorded for the genotypes BARI-2011 (145.0 g) followed by BARD-479 (107.3 g). While, Zamurrad et al. [18] during their investigations also found significant differences in 100- kernels weight of different genotypes groundnut. They reported maximum 100-kernels weight for PG-1104 (63.3g) followed by BARI-2011 (60.7g) while minimum 100 kernels weight of 47.3g was recorded for check variety Golden. Present results were also confirmed by the findings of other researchers [18, 22]. They reported significant variations in 100 kernels weight among different genotypes groundnut. Further the findings of Khote et al. & John et al. [25, 26] for kernel yield per plant showed high phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) their results were in accordance to the present investigation.

Pod yield kg ha$^{-1}$
There was a significant variation in the yield kg ha$^{-1}$ of pods among different groundnut genotypes as stated in Table 1. The genotypes BARI-2011 showed maximum pod yield (1653.3kg ha$^{-1}$) and genotypes
PG- 492 indicated minimum pod yield (880.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Similar results were also noted by Zamurrad \textit{et al.} [18] as they found that the Variaty BARI-2011 maximum pod yield (3648 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) while minimum pod yield (2825 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded for PG-1104. These types of differences in the Pod yield of different genotypes were also noticed by other investigators [6, 15, 18, 22, 27]. Karkannavar \textit{et al.} [23] revealed that variation regarding pods per plant and pod yield between different varieties could be explained in terms of pods plant\(^{-1}\). Celal [28] also indicated the positive relationship for seed yield with pods plant\(^{-1}\) and 1000 seed weight and pods plant\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties Name</th>
<th>Days to Maturity</th>
<th>No. of pods plant(^{1})</th>
<th>20 pods length (cm)</th>
<th>100kernels weight (g)</th>
<th>Pod yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BARI- 2011</td>
<td>132.33D</td>
<td>91.33A</td>
<td>75.00A</td>
<td>145.00A</td>
<td>1653.3A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARD- 479</td>
<td>152.00C</td>
<td>69.33BC</td>
<td>72.00B</td>
<td>107.33B</td>
<td>1415.0B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG- 1102</td>
<td>152.67BC</td>
<td>70.333B</td>
<td>68.00C</td>
<td>96.67BC</td>
<td>1103.0C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden</td>
<td>153.00BC</td>
<td>64.33BC</td>
<td>67.66CD</td>
<td>95.00BC</td>
<td>1000.7D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG- 492</td>
<td>156.00B</td>
<td>55.00D</td>
<td>67.00CD</td>
<td>95.00BC</td>
<td>880.0F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-1104</td>
<td>161.00A</td>
<td>61.00CD</td>
<td>65.33D</td>
<td>86.67C</td>
<td>926.7E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Mean</td>
<td>151.17</td>
<td>68.556</td>
<td>69.167</td>
<td>104.28</td>
<td>1163.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD5%</td>
<td>1.7321</td>
<td>3.7584</td>
<td>1.0954</td>
<td>7.6546</td>
<td>20.370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Conclusion}

Keeping in view the above mentioned investigation it was concluded that all the parameters among the genotypes showed important disparity under semi-arid climatic condition. Among all the examined varieties the genotype BARI- 2011 was out standing in yield potential followed by BARD- 479. For yield contributing characters like number of pod plant\(^{-1}\), 20 pods length, and 100 kernels weight genotypes BARI- 2011 once more demonstrated high-quality consequence. Therefore the genotype BARI-2011 is suggested for wide-ranging farming in the semi-arid climatic condition and further use in breeding programs for groundnut. The genotypes PG- 492 showed nominal amount of dry pod yield that is why it should be avoided for the farming in semi-arid condition of Quetta.
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