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Effect of nitrogen fertilization and decapitation stress on *Triticum aestivum* L. (Wheat) productivity
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Abstract

The experiment entitled “effect of nitrogen fertilization and decapitation stress on wheat productivity was laid out in a randomized complete block with split plot arrangement having three replications during winter 2011-12. Variety Siran-2010 was sown at the rate of 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Decapitation stress (cutting) was compared with no cutting in main plots while nitrogen levels (0, 100, 140 & 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) were assigned to the sub plots. Half of the nitrogen was applied at first irrigation, whereas the remaining half was used 70 days after sowing. Decapitation was done by cutting the respective plots with sickle 70 days after sowing. Results of the experiment showed that no cut plots resulted highest number of spikes m\(^{-2}\) (388), grains spike\(^{-1}\) (53.2), thousand grains weight (39.43g), biological yield (10856 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and grain yield (3741 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Cut plots gave additional forage yield of 3243 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and therefore gave additional income of Rs. 4067 ha\(^{-1}\) over control respectively. Maximum spikes m\(^{-2}\) (389), grains spike\(^{-1}\) (56.0) and thousand grains weight (40.22 g) was produced by nitrogen applied at the rate of 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Whereas maximum biological yield (11435 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), grain yield (4246 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), moisture content (12.814%) and crude protein content (12.455%) was produced by nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\). It is concluded that supplied nitrogen @ 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\) with no decapitation stress seems to be the best choice for wheat producer in the agro-climatic condition of Peshawar valley.
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Introduction

*Triticum aestivum* L. (Wheat) is the first grain crop around the world. Its production is generally for grain purpose, while in countries such as Argentina, Australia, Morocco, Syria and Uruguay, wheat crop is cultivated for grain as well as for fodder purpose. Wheat is the major crop in term of
area and production [1]. The pasture of wheat is of great value because of its quality and quantity compared to other crops in late fall, winter or early spring season [2]. There is a unique ability in wheat that if its fodder is harvested in the early stage of growth, dual benefits may be obtained as green forage and grain at maturity [3]. In this way the basic demands of food and feed of the over increasing human and livestock population can be overcome from the same field providing perfect management practices[4]. So in order to minimize competition among green forage and grain yield dual purpose wheat cropping is a unique system to maintain quality forage and grain yield from the same piece of land [5]. To get plenty green forage for livestock, dual purpose wheat is applied with additional nourishment to account for nitrogen deletion in form of green forage [6, 7]. In crop productivity nitrogen application is frequently the most limiting variable because it is one of the most important nutrients required by the plant in large quantities. Its application results in vigorous vegetative growth and also enhances yield and milling qualities of the grain [8]. Hence nitrogen application and cutting are important management inputs, which influence forage and grain production of wheat [9]. Keeping in view the increasing demands of grain and green forage, the current study was conducted to determine the optimum nitrogen level suitable for dual purpose wheat.

Materials and methods

An experiment on the effect of nitrogen fertilization and decapitation stress on Triticum aestivum L. (wheat) productivity was carried out at New Developmental Farm, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan during winter season 2011-12. The site is located at (34° 00’ N, 71° 30’ E, 510 MASL). The soil of experimental site has Porosity 45.95%, Texture class; silty clay loam, Clay 40.2%, Silt 51.1%, Sand 8.7%, organic mater 1.50 g kg\(^{-1}\), pH of 8.2, lime 19%. Total nitrogen 4.25 g kg\(^{-1}\), EC 0.7 ds cm\(^{-1}\), (\%) and Bulk Density 1.43 Mg m\(^{-3}\). The EC in water or saturation extract or leachate solution was determined by using EC meter (WTW, Germany). Before analyzing samples, the EC meter was calibrated against 0.1N and 0.01N KCl solutions. Soil pH was determined by taking 10g of soil sample in a conical flask and added with 50mL of distilled water to make 1:5 soil-water suspensions. The suspension was shaken through a mechanical shaker for 30min and then filtered through Whatmann no. 42. The pH readings of the samples was noted after calibrating the pH meter against two buffers with pH values of 7.01 and 10.1 Organic matter in soil samples was determined by the following formula:

\[
\text{SOM} (\%) = \left( \frac{\text{mL of K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7 \times N}{\text{Weight of soil (g)}} - \frac{\text{mL of FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O \times N}}{0.69} \right) \times 0.69
\]

The total soil nitrogen was determined following the Kjeldahl method.

\[
\text{Total N} (\%) = \left( \frac{\text{S-B}}{\text{Wt. of sample x ml of extract}} \right) \times 0.005 \times \text{Volume made} \times 100
\]

Hydrometer method was used for soil texture determination. A 50 g air-dry soil sample was placed in dispersion cup and sufficient water was added. Then 10 mL of 1N Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) was added and the volume of dispersion cup was made to the mark and blend for 10-15 minutes. Then the solution was transferred to a 1000 mL cylinder. Then the hydrometer was placed into the suspension and the 40 sec reading was recorded. After 2 hours, second reading was recorded. Temperature was noted for both the readings. Calculations were carried out for percent sand, silt and clay, using USDA textural triangle, texture of the soil was determined. The experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design with
split plot arrangement having three replications. Decapitation stress (cut and no cut) was assigned to main plots, whereas nitrogen levels i.e. rates of nitrogen (0, 100, 140 and 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) to the sub plots. Urea was applied as a source of nitrogen. Size of the sub plot was 3 x 4 m\(^2\). Row to row space of 30 cm was maintained. The crop was sown with the help of single row hand drill on a well prepared seed bed. Seeds were planted at the rate of 120 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Phosphorus at the rate of 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was applied at sowing from DAP. Nitrogen was applied in split doses. First dose was applied at first irrigation about 30 days after sowing, whereas the remaining half dose was applied 70 days after sowing. Decapitation was done by cutting the respective plots with sickle after 70 days of sowing. All other agronomic practices were applied equally. The parameters recorded were fresh forage yield, spikes m\(^{-2}\), grains spike\(^{-1}\), thousand grains weight, biological yield, grain yield, moisture content, crude protein content, crude fiber content and net profit. Data regarding fresh forage yield was recorded by cutting the respective plots (70 DAS). Spikes (productive tillers) were counted in four central rows of each sub plot and then changed into spikes m\(^{-2}\) accordingly. For measuring data on grains spike\(^{-1}\), ten spikes were selected randomly in each sub plot, threshed separately, counted number of grains of each spikes and then averaged. Thousand grains weight was recorded by weighing thousand grains been taken randomly from grain lot of each sup plot. Data regarding biological yield was taken by reaping central four rows from every sub plot, sun dried, weighed and then changed to kg ha\(^{-1}\) by using the formula:

\[
\text{Biological yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Biological yield}}{\text{R-R x row length x No. of rows}} \times 10000
\]

For grain yield the harvested four central rows in each sub plot were threshed, cleaned, weighed and changed into kg ha\(^{-1}\) by formula:

\[
\text{Grain yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{R-R x row length x No. of rows}} \times 10000
\]

**Economic analysis**

The profitability of dual purpose wheat was calculated by the following formulae [10].
1. Gross income = Grain yield value + straw yield value + forage yield value
2. Net income = Gross income – total expenditure

**Statistical analysis**

Method applicable to the randomized complete block design (split-plot arrangement) was used for statistical analysis of the recorded data. For mean comparison in case of significant difference least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% level of significance was used [11].

**Results and discussion**

**Fresh forage yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))**

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that nitrogen levels significantly affected fresh forage yield up to a certain level, but it was not increased by further increase of nitrogen (Table 1). This might be due to the fact that at this stage vegetative growth was not enough to fully utilize the higher dose of nitrogen. Higher fresh forage yield (3874 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was produced by the plots treated with 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), whereas control plots (treated with no nitrogen) produced lower forage yield (2147 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Nitrogen stress leads to reduced plant growth [12]. Similar to [13] positive effect on wet and dry weight of wheat with nitrogen application was noticed.

**Spikes m\(^{-2}\)/productive tillers m\(^{-2}\)**

Table 1 showed that decapitation and nitrogen rates had significant effect on spikes m\(^{-2}\) (productive tillers). Decapitation reduced spikes m\(^{-2}\) of wheat. Plots that receive no cut treatment resulted in highest (388) spikes m\(^{-2}\) while cut plots produced minimum (321) spikes m\(^{-2}\). Reduction in spikes m\(^{-2}\) in cut plots might be due to the fact that the
treatment was applied at the time of stem elongation stage, because of which plants remained unable to produce any more tillers or even develop the already produced tillers [14]. Nitrogen application at the rate of 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\) produced more (389) spikes m\(^{-2}\) whereas less (274) spikes m\(^{-2}\) was by control plots. Similar results are reported by [15] who found that number of spikes m\(^{-2}\) enhanced up to 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in no cut plots whereas in cut plots spikes m\(^{-2}\) increased with increasing nitrogen (Fig. 1a).

### Thousand grains weight (g)

Thousand grains weight was considerably reduced by decapitation stress, however significant increase was recorded with nitrogen application up to a certain level (Table 1). Heavier grains (39.43 g) were maintained by plants in no cut plots than cut plots. Decrease in thousand grains weight of wheat might be occurred when all or two top leaves were detached after 75 days of sowing [17]. Nitrogen, used at the rate of 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\) resulted in higher thousand grains weight (40.22 g) which was statistically at par with 180 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (40.09 g). Optimum dose of nitrogen increased thousand grain weight of wheat [4, 15, 16].

### Biological yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))

Significant variation in biological yield of wheat was recorded by decapitation and nitrogen levels from statistical analysis of the data (Table 1). Decapitation significantly decreased biological yield. Highest biological yield (10856 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was maintained by no cut plots while cutting after 70 days of sowing produced lowest biological yield (9535 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Stress induced by cutting in vegetative phase of the crop which removed much of the biomass compared to no cut plots and lowest number of tillers per unit area might be the probable reasons for decrease in biological yield [12, 14]. Biological yield significantly enhanced by nitrogen application. Biological yield of 11435 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was produced by nitrogen applied at the rate of 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\) which was at par of 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Lowest biological yield (7905 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was produced by 0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Nitrogen application linearly increased biological yield of wheat [12, 16]. Regarding interactive effect of decapitation and nitrogen levels biological yield increased with enhancing N levels in cut plots while in no cut treatment this linear increase was up to 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1c).
Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))

Table 1 revealed that decapitation stress and nitrogen levels had significant effect on grain yield of wheat. Decapitation considerably decreased grain yield. No cut plots produced highest grain yield (3741 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) while cutting after 70 days of sowing produced lowest grain yield (3209 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Stress induced by cutting in vegetative phase of the crop might be the probable reason for decrease in grain yield because these practices reduced partitioning of assimilates in to sink. Light grains, less number of grains spike\(^{-1}\) and less number of fertile tillers are the other reasons for reduction in grain yield of wheat in cut plots or might be due to lowest leaf area index. Significant decrease in grain yield of wheat in cut plots was reported by many researchers [4, 14, 16]. Nitrogen application at the rate of 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\) produced maximum grain yield (4246 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) which is at par of 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). While the lowest grain yield (1861 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was produced by control plots. Yield and yield components of wheat improved up to 150 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) [16, 18]. Interaction of decapitation and nitrogen levels grain yield increased with enhancing N levels in cut and grazed plots while in no cut treatment this linear increase was up to 140 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1d).

Table 1. Spikes m\(^{-2}\), Fresh forage yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Grains spike\(^{-1}\), 1000-grains weight (g), Biological yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) of wheat as affected by decapitation stress and nitrogen levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Spikes m(^{-2})</th>
<th>Fresh forage yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Grains spike(^{-1})</th>
<th>1000-grains weight (g)</th>
<th>Biological yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Grain yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decapitation stress (D)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cut</td>
<td>388a</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>53.2a</td>
<td>39.43a</td>
<td>10856a</td>
<td>3741a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>321b</td>
<td>3243</td>
<td>47.5b</td>
<td>37.38b</td>
<td>9535b</td>
<td>3209b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>138.12</td>
<td>23.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (N) (kg ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>274d</td>
<td>2147d</td>
<td>40.7d</td>
<td>35.37c</td>
<td>7905c</td>
<td>1861c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>342c</td>
<td>3124c</td>
<td>48.2c</td>
<td>38.4b</td>
<td>9668b</td>
<td>3401b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>389a</td>
<td>3874a</td>
<td>56.0a</td>
<td>40.22a</td>
<td>11328a</td>
<td>4220a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>379b</td>
<td>3783b</td>
<td>54.8b</td>
<td>40.09a</td>
<td>11435a</td>
<td>4246a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>57.85</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>180.81</td>
<td>61.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05) for interaction</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters differ significantly according to Least Significant Difference (LSD) test (\(P<0.05\)), ns stands for non-significant difference, and* for significant difference at \(P < 0.05\) level, respectively.
Figure 1. Spikes m$^{-2}$ (a), grains spike$^{-1}$ (b), biological yield (c) and grain yield (d) of wheat as affected by the interaction of decapitation and nitrogen levels
Moisture content (%)  
Table 2 showed that moisture content of wheat grains did not differ significantly due to decapitation stress, however the grains of cut plots showed more moisture content (11.764%) than grains of no cut plots (11.531%). Grain moisture content increased with each increment in nitrogen application. Higher moisture content (12.814%) was recorded in plots received nitrogen at the rate of 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\) while control plots resulted lower moisture content (11.031%). A considerable increase in moisture content of wheat was also observed by the application of NPK fertilizers [19].

Table 2. Moisture content (%), Crude protein content (%) and Crude fiber content (%) of wheat as affected by decapitation stress and nitrogen levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Crude protein content (%)</th>
<th>Crude fiber content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decapitation stress (D)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cut</td>
<td>11.531</td>
<td>13.214</td>
<td>3.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut</td>
<td>11.746</td>
<td>12.985</td>
<td>3.571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrogen (N) (kg ha(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.031d</td>
<td>10.583c</td>
<td>2.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.544c</td>
<td>11.532b</td>
<td>2.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>12.132b</td>
<td>11.950b</td>
<td>2.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>12.841a</td>
<td>12.455a</td>
<td>2.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LSD (0.05) for interaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D x N</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters differ significantly according to Least Significant Difference (LSD) test \((P<0.05)\), ns stands for non-significant difference, and* for significant difference at \(P < 0.05\) level, respectively.

Net profit in Rs.  
Decapitation significantly increased net returns of wheat (Table3). Cut plots gave maximum net income (Rs.131618 ha\(^{-1}\)).

While minimum net income (Rs. 127551 ha\(^{-1}\)) was achieved form no cut wheat. In decapitation, cut wheat gave additional income of Rs. 4067 ha\(^{-1}\) over control.
Conclusion and recommendations
Decapitation after 70 days sowing decreased (16 %) grain yield of wheat. But additional benefit in term of green fodder yield, exceed the loss of grain yield. Nitrogen application up to 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\) enhanced grain yield however no significant increase in grain yield was observed with further increase in nitrogen levels. While linear increase in grain yield was observed in cut plots with increase of nitrogen. Application of nitrogen 140 kg ha\(^{-1}\) with no decapitation stress for higher yield and yield components of wheat is recommended for the farmers of Peshawar valley.
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Table 3. Economic analysis of dual purpose wheat


