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Abstract

The aim of this research was to evaluate source, methods and timing of nitrogen application for dry matter partitioning and productivity in wheat. The experiment was conducted in randomized complete block design at Agronomic Research Farm of the University of Agriculture, Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa during fall 2015. Wheat variety Atta Habib was used as a test crop, and was seeded @ 100 kg ha-1 during 2nd week of November. Urea was applied as a source of mineral nitrogen @ 90 kg ha-1 as full and split doses with different growth stages i.e at sowing, at tillering and at booting, while farmyard manure, poultry manure and legume residue @ of 5 t ha-1 were used as sources of organic nitrogen. Sources, methods and timing of nitrogen application had significantly influenced dry matter partitioning at pre-anthesis stage, at physiological maturity and final yield. In case of sources of nitrogen higher dry matter partitioning at pre-anthesis stage to leaf (134.31 g m-2), stem (540.91 g m-2), spike (156.12 g m-2), and at maturity stage to leaf (103.54 g m-2), stem (605.24 g m-2), spike (948.32 g m-2) and grain yield (3997 kg ha-1) was recorded for poultry manure incorporated plots, Whereas legume residue performed poor in all measured parameters. In case of timing and methods of nitrogen application dry matter partitioning at pre-anthesis to leaf (147.50 g m-2), stem (540.91 g m-2), spike (156.12 g m-2), and at maturity stage to leaf (109.52 g m-2), stem (676.23 g m-2) and to spike (983.85 g m-2) and grain yield (4419 kg ha-1) were higher when nitrogen was applied half at tillering and half boot stage. It was concluded that integrated use of poultry manure (5 t ha-1) as source of nitrogen along with 90 kg ha-1 as a mineral nitrogen in 2 splits half at tillering and half at boot stage had accelerated dry matter partitioning at both stages i.e pre-anthesis and physiological maturity toward the final grain yield under agro-ecological condition of Peshawar, Pakistan.
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Introduction

Wheat *Triticum aestivum* L. is an annual cereal crop and is the primary source of food for human beings and also could be uses as a feed to livestock’s all over the world [1]. It is the first indispensable food crop of Pakistan and leading both in area and production among the cereals [2]. Wheat plays a vital job
in boosting Pakistan economy. The national production of wheat in our country is quite low than progressed countries of the world like Mexico and China. This low yield of wheat in Pakistan mainly due to because of our farmers is un-aware to use good source of nitrogen with suitable method and at proper time [3]. Nitrogen is most important component of chlorophyll and its absence in soil effect the availability of phosphorus, potash and other nutrients to plant [4]. Nitrogen application at proper time decrease the loss of nitrogen and its best application methods (split applications) not only increasing wheat yield but also enhancing fertilizers use efficiency [5]. Among all the plant nutrients, nitrogen is the most important element for enhancing plant canopy volume and increasing grain yield [6]. Application of nitrogen fertilizers plays an important role in intensive cropping system [7]. Nitrogen makes cereal crops more nutritive by stimulating protein contents in grains and deficient nitrogen results in yellowing (chlorosis), reduce tillering, and disturbing normal growth and cell division [8].

Organic manures are the chief source of nitrogen and many other necessary plant nutrients [9]. The most important organic sources of nitrogen are PM, FYM and crop residues which are mostly used in organic farming [10]. The re-use of organic materials as bio fertilizers in farming system is not only useful for human health and soil, but it also reduce pollutants and generate more net income [11]. Organic manures contain both macro and micro plant nutrients and also make soil more favourable for plant production [12]. Organic manure incorporation into soil enhancing the water holding potential of soil, cation exchange capacity of the soil and allow the plant roots to have better access to available nutrients [13]. [14] Narrated that use of organic manures in cropping system is better for soil health and obtaining higher production on sustainable basis. Application of organic manures enhancing soil porosity, infiltration rate, total C, reducing bulk density, check soil erosion and lead to increasing availability of plant nutrients through mineralization [15]. Proper method, balance rate, and time of inorganic fertilizers application along with organic manures is not only decreasing nitrification rate, but also play a key role in increasing crop productivity by increasing nitrogen use efficiency. Time of fertilizer application can influence the nitrogen utilization efficiency by crop plants [16]. Nitrogen applied in 2-3 doses had no sizeable outcome in yield but crucial effect on decreasing lodging [17], [18] Stated that the optimum application of nitrogen fertilizers at appropriate methods is a key to achieve potential yield. The farmers of our country are unable to use the recommended doses of fertilizers due high cost of fertilizer, so this difficulty can be discarded by using effective fertilizer application methods and managing its application time.

Keeping in view the above facts and figures, the experiment was designed to find out the effect of organic sources of nitrogen and fertilizer nitrogen application methods/time at various growth stages on productivity of wheat under the agro-ecological condition of Peshawar.

Materials and methods

To find out the effect of integrated use of nitrogen from organic sources (farmyard manure, poultry manure and legume residue and mineral nitrogen application methods / time on the production of wheat, a research plan was projected at agronomic research farm, the University of Agriculture, Peshawar during fall 2015. This research plan carried out in RCB design with 18 treatment combinations and 1 check plot replicated four times. Plot was kept 2.1 m by 3 m with 30 cm row- row space having 7 rows. Wheat variety Atta Habib was sown on 14 November at the seed rate 100 kg ha⁻¹ with seed drill. Urea was used as a source of FN (fertilizer nitrogen) and FYM, PM and LR was used as a source of organic nitrogen. All the organic sources of nitrogen, poultry manure farmyard manure and legume residue @ 5 t
ha\(^{-1}\) were incorporated into the soil at before sowing. While FN in form of urea @ 90 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was applied at single and split doses, at various growth stages i.e sowing (S1), tillering (S2) and booting stages (S2). Farmyard manure, poultry manure and legumes residue were obtained from dairy farm and poultry farm of The University of Agricultural Peshawar. The recommended dose of P (90 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and K (60 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was also applied at the time of sowing. The field was irrigated and when it came to suitable moisture state, it was ploughed 3 times with cultivator and sown with the help of seed drill. The field was irrigated after 20 days when the germination was completed. All cultural practices were performed same for all the experimental units.

Data about dry matter partitioning at pre anthesis and maturity stage was recorded by cutting the plant from 0.5 m border row of each plot at ground level. Plant was cut into culms, spikes and leaves and then it was oven dried at 70 °C to constant weight for dry weight determination. Data about grain yield was recorded in 4 central rows in each plot was harvested and threshed separately for calculating grain yield and was converted to kg ha\(^{-1}\).

**Statistical analysis**

This research plan was planned in a RCB design with four replications and 18 treatment combinations and keeping 1 check plot per replication. The data was analyzed statistically according to the RCB factorial design. LSD test was used for significance at 0.05 levels of probability [19]. Special planned mean comparisons were also made to make the tables more explanatory.

**Results**

**Leaf dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre anthesis**

Data associated to leaf dry weight showed that N application methods (Figure 1) and organic sources (Figure 2) had significant effect on leaf dry weight. The interaction between sources and N application methods was also found significant (Figure 3). The planned mean comparisons for leaf dry weight among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vsS1+S3 were significant, whereas all other mean comparisons were not significant (Figure 4). The higher leaf dry weight was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by farmyard manure which was statistically similar to poultry manure incorporated plots, whereas legume residue had the lowest leaf dry weight at pre anthesis. Leaf dry weight at pre anthesis was higher when FN was applied in split doses ½ tillering + ½ boot stage, whereas full dose of N at boot stage had the least leaf dry weight at pre anthesis. Maximum leaf dry weight was found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had higher leaf dry weight than full application however nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had greater leaf dry weight than at boot stage. Leaf dry weight was higher in N applied plots at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Leaf dry weight at pre- anthesis were significantly affected by OS (Organic sources) x FN (Fertilizer nitrogen). The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased Leaf dry weight along with all organic manures. The decrease in Leaf dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose at booting. Regarding split application of N the Leaf dry weight for FYM and PM increases significantly from sowing and tillering to tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR Leaf dry weight seems to be less affected, but decreasing at sowing and booting.

**Stem dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre anthesis**

Stem dry weight at pre anthesis stage was considerably influenced by fertilizer N application methods (Figure 5) and organic sources of N (Figure 6). Significant interaction was also recorded for organic sources and N application methods (Figure 7). The planned mean comparisons for stem dry weight among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant, whereas all other mean comparisons were remained not significant
The maximum stem dry weight of stem was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by Farm yard manure but it was statistically similar to poultry manure incorporated plots, whereas legume residue had lesser stem dry weight. In case of fertilizer N application, higher stem dry was recorded when N was applied in split doses at tillering and boot stage, where plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted minimum stem dry weight. Stem dry weight was higher for the rest of the treatments as compared to control plots. Split application resulted greater stem dry weight as compared to full application. Full dose of N application at tillering had greater stem dry weight than at booting stage. Application of N in splits half each at tillering and booting had higher stem dry weight as compared to plots where half of N was applied both at sowing and booting stages. Stem dry weight at pre anthesis were significantly affected by OS x FN. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased stem dry weight along with all organic manures. The decrease in stem dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose was applied at booting. Regarding split application of N the stem dry weight for FYM and PM increases significantly at tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR stem dry weight seems to be comparatively less affected, but decrease was more at sowing and booting.

Figure 1. Leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at pre anthesis stage as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.

Figure 2. Leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at pre anthesis stage as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.
Figure 3. Interaction between OS x FN for leaf dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) at pre anthesis application (methods/ time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.

Figure 4. Planned mean comparison for leaf dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) as affected by FN.

Figure 5. Stem dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) at pre anthesis stage as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.
Figure 6. Stem dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre-anthesis stage as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.

Figure 7. Interaction between OS x FN for stem dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre-anthesis.

Figure 8. Planned mean comparison for stem weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre-anthesis stage as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.
Spike dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre anthesis
Data on the subject of spike dry are given was statistically analyzed. Mean values the data indicated that fertilizer N application (Figure 9) and organic sources (Figure 10) had significant effect on spike dry weight, whereas their interaction was also significant (Figure 11). The planned mean comparisons for spike dry weight among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant, whereas all other mean comparisons were not significant (Figure 12).

![Figure 9. Spike dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre anthesis stage as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison](image)

![Figure 10. Spike dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at pre anthesis stage as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison](image)

The maximum spike dry weight was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by farmyard manure, but it was not different from poultry manure plots whereas legume residue resulted in minimum spike dry weight. In case fertilizer N application, higher spike dry weight was recorded when N was applied in split doses at tillering and boot stage followed by plots receiving N in split doses at sowing and tillering but it was statistically similar to spike dry weight of the plots receiving N in split form at sowing and boot stages whereas plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted in minimum spike dry weight. Maximum spike dry weight was found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had
produce maximum spike dry weight than full application however nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had maximum spike dry weight compared to booting stage. Maximum spike dry weight was recorded in plots where N was applied at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Interaction between organic sources and fertilizers N were significant for spike dry weight. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased spike dry weight along with LR and FYM, while for PM spike dry weight seems to be less affected. The decrease in spike dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose was applied at booting. Regarding split application of N the spike dry weight for FYM and PM increases significantly at tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR spike dry weight seems to be comparatively less affected increased at tillering and booting, and decreased at sowing and booting.

![Figure 11. Interaction between OS x FN for spike dry weight (g m⁻²) at pre-anthesis](image1.png)

![Figure 12. Planned mean comparison for spike weight (g m⁻²) at pre-anthesis stage as affected by FN application (methods/ time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison](image2.png)

Leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at physiological maturity

Data regarding to leaf dry weight at physiological maturity indicated that mean of the treatment shows that fertilizer N application (Figure 13) and organic sources (Figure 14) had significant effect on leaf dry weight, whereas their interaction was also significant (Figure 15). The planned mean comparisons for leaf dry weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Rest</th>
<th>Full Split</th>
<th>Full S1 Other Full</th>
<th>Full S2</th>
<th>Full S3</th>
<th>S1+S2 Other Split</th>
<th>S2+S3 S1+S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>170.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>C6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S1+S2</th>
<th>S2+S3</th>
<th>S1+S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant (Figure 16), whereas all other mean comparisons were remained not significant. The maximum leaf dry weight was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by farmyard manure, but it was not different from poultry manure plots whereas legume residue resulted minimum leaf dry weight.

Regarding fertilizer N application maximum leaf dry weight was observed when N was applied in split form ½ tillering + ½ boot stage, where plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted minimum leaf dry weight. Maximum leaf dry weight was found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had produce maximum leaf dry weight than full application however nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had maximum leaf dry weight compared to booting stage. Maximum leaf dry weight was recorded in plots where N was applied at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Interaction between organic sources and fertilizers N were significant for leaf dry weight. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased leaf dry weight along with PM and FYM, while for LR leaf dry weight seems to be less affected. The decrease in leaf dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose was applied at booting.

Figure 13. Leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity stage as affected by FN application (methods/ time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison

Figure 14. Leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison
Regarding split application of N, the spike dry weight for FYM increases significantly at tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR and PM, leaf dry weight seems to be comparatively less affected, leaf dry weight increased at tillering and booting, and decreased at sowing and booting.

**Figure 15.** Figure 16. Interaction between OS x FN for leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity

**Figure 16.** Planned mean comparison for leaf dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by FN application (method/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.

**Stem dry weight (gm⁻²) at physiological maturity**

Data correlated to stem dry at physiological maturity are given in following figures. Mean values of the treatment showed that fertilizer N application (Figure 17) and organic sources (Figure 18) had significant effect on stem dry weight, whereas the interaction was also significant (Figure 19). The planned mean comparisons for stem dry weight at maturity among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant, whereas all other mean comparisons were remained not significant (Figure 20). The maximum stem dry weight was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by farmyard manure, but it was not different from poultry manure plots whereas legume residue resulted minimum stem dry weight. Regarding fertilizer N application, maximum stem dry weight was observed when N was applied in split doses at tillering and boot, where plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted minimum stem dry weight. Maximum stem dry weight was
found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had produce maximum stem dry weight than full Application however full dose at sowing had Greater number of tillers than at other stages of full dose. Nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had maximum stem dry weight compared to booting stage. Maximum stem dry weight was recorded in plots where N was applied at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Interaction between organic sources and fertilizers N were significant for stem dry weight. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased stem dry weight along with all organic manures. The decrease in stem dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose at booting. Regarding split application of N the stem dry weight for FYM and PM increases significantly from sowing and tillering to tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR stem dry weight seems to be comparatively less affected increased at tillering and booting, and decreased at sowing and booting.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 17.** Stem dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 18.** Stem dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means within each comparison.
Figure 19. Interaction between OS x FN for stem dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) at maturity

Figure 20. Planned mean comparison for stem dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) at maturity as affected by FN application (methods/ time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means of each comparison

**Spike dry weight (g m$^{-2}$) at physiological maturity**

Data on the subject of spike dry are given was analyzed. Mean of the treatment shows that fertilizer N application (Figure 21) and organic sources of N (Figure 22) had significant effect on spike dry weight, whereas the interaction was also significant (Figure 23). The planned mean comparisons for spike dry weight at maturity among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant, whereas all other mean comparisons were remained not significant (Figure 24). The maximum spike dry weight was recorded in poultry incorporated plots, where legume residue resulted in minimum spike dry weight. In case of fertilizer N application maximum spike dry weight was observed when N was applied in split doses at tillering and boot, where plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted minimum spike dry weight. Maximum spike dry weight was found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had produce maximum spike dry weight than full application however nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had maximum spike dry weight compared to booting stage. Maximum spike dry weight was recorded in plots where N was applied.
at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Interaction between organic sources and fertilizers N were significant for spike dry weight. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased stem dry weight along with all organic manures, while for LR spike dry weight seems to be less affected. The decrease in spike dry weight was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose at booting. Regarding split application of N the spike dry weight for FYM and PM increases significantly from sowing and tillering to tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of LR spike dry weight seems to be comparatively less affected increased at tillering and booting, and decreased at sowing and booting.

Figure 21. Spike dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represents standard errors of means within each comparison

Figure 22. Spike dry weight (g m⁻²) at maturity as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means of each comparison
Figure 23. Interaction between OS x FN for spike dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at maturity

Figure 24. Planned mean comparison for spike dry weight (g m\(^{-2}\)) at maturity as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means of each comparison

Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))

Data associated to grain yield weight are given in following figures. Mean values of the treatment shows that fertilizer N (Figure 25) and organic sources (Figure 26) application had significant effect on grain yield, whereas the interaction was also significant (Figure 27). The planned mean comparisons for grain yield among control vs rest, full vs split, full S2 vs full S3, S2+S3 vs S1+S3 were significant (Figure 28), whereas all other mean comparisons were remained not significant. The maximum grain yield (3997 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots followed by farmyard manure (3688 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) whereas legume residue LR (3997 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). In case fertilizer N application maximum grain yield (4420 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) was observed when N was applied in split doses at tillering and boot stage followed by plots receiving N in split doses at sowing and tillering with (4015 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and sowing and boot with (3859 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) but they are statistically similar, whereas plot receiving full N at boot stage resulted lower grain yield (2747 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Maximum grain yield was found for the rest of the treatments as compared to control. Split application had produce higher grain yield than full application however nitrogen application as full dose at tillering had greater grain yield
compared to booting stage. Maximum grain yield was recorded in plots whereas N was applied at tillering and booting than sowing and booting stages. Interaction between organic sources and fertilizers N were significant for grain yield. The application of N as full dose upto tillering increased biological yield along with FYM and PM, while LR seem to be less affected. The decrease in grain yield was more for all organic manure when fertilizer N was applied as full dose at booting. Regarding split application of N the grain yield for FYM and LR increases from sowing and tillering to tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of PM grain yield seems to be less affected across all split application methods and decreased at sowing and booting.

**Figure 25.** Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represents standard errors of means within each comparison

**Figure 26.** Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) as affected by organic sources for N. Vertical bars represent standard errors of means of each comparison
Figure 27. Interaction between OS x FN for Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\))

Figure 28. Planned mean comparison for Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) as affected by FN application (methods/time). Vertical bars represent standard errors of means of each comparison

**Discussion**

Both pre and post anthesis dry matter production in plant was positively influenced by organic sources and nitrogen application methods. Among organic sources greater poultry manure had high dry matter partitioned to leaves, stem and spike than other organic sources. Poultry manure had higher nitrogen content that promoted leaf, stem, and spike growth. Nitrogen stimulated dry matter production in leaf, stem and spike because it increased plant vegetative canopy that resulted in improved efficiencies of radiation and water use. Our results are also supported by [14, 18] who reported that split application of nitrogen at tillering and boot stage increased nitrogen uptake and rate of photosynthesis due to which dry matter production are increased in various parts of the plants. Interaction between organic sources and nitrogen application methods revealed that the application of nitrogen as full dose up to tillering increased dry matter partitioning to leaf, stem and spike at both stages i.e pre-
Anthesis and at physiological maturity along with all organic manures. Further application at booting had decreased dry matter partitioning. Regarding split application of nitrogen the dry matter partitioning to leaf, stem and spike for FYM and PM increases significantly at tillering and booting, while LR have less effected by split application of nitrogen. This might be due to that the combine application of nitrogen from organic sources and chemical fertilizer increasing nitrogen availability to the plant which had improved the chlorophyll in the canopy through nitrogen absorption and thus might had increased canopy of plant for compensating maximum light and generating of larger photosynthates. Our results are in line with the findings of [22, 23] who stated that the combine application of nitrogen from organic sources and chemical fertilizer enhanced nitrogen availability to the plants which improved the chlorophyll in the canopy through nitrogen absorption and thus might had increased leaf area for generation of larger photosynthates, and thus ultimately might have improved the dry matter of individual components like leaves, stem and spike. Organic sources and nitrogen application had considerable effect on grain yield. The maximum grain yield was recorded in poultry manure incorporated plots rather than FYM and LR. Our results are in line with the findings of [15, 24] who have reported that application of organic manures preserved soil biota, higher water retention, decreased soil compaction, enhanced soil fertility, increased nutrient availability and reduction in nutrient losses which contributed to increased grain yield. In nitrogen application methods, higher grains yield was recorded when nitrogen was applied in split doses at tillering and boot stage. This might be due to that nitrogen applied in split doses at tillering and booting are less susceptible to losses and maximum availability to the crops due photosynthetic ability of plant improved which increased grain yield. Our results are in line with the finding of [14, 24, 25]. The application of nitrogen as full dose up to tillering increased grain yield along with FYM and PM, while LR seem to be less affected. The decrease in grain yield was more for all organic manure when fertilizer nitrogen was applied as full dose at booting. Regarding split application of nitrogen the grain yield for FYM and LR increases from sowing and tillering to tillering and booting and then decreased at sowing and booting. While in case of PM grain yield seems to be less affected across all split application methods and decreased at sowing and booting. The findings of [14, 16, 25] reported similar results for obtaining higher grain yield with combined application of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers.
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