A review on foot and mouth disease in dairy animals, etiology, pathogenesis and clinical findings
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Abstract
Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is an extremely contagious disease of angulated animals and in livestock, it is an important pathogen more than 120 years after it was recognized. The virus of this disease belong to a genus Aphthovirus of the family picornaviridae and present as seven serotypes C, O, A, SAT1, SAT2, SAT3, and Asia1. Transmission of this disease occurs by direct and indirect contact, ingestion and by aerosols. The best multiplication sites of this virus are oral cavity, udder, heart, feet, and oro-pharynx. Specific, sensitive and quick diagnostic tools are required for effective control of this disease. FMD causes fever, salivation, anorexia and vesicular eruption on teats, feet, and mouth. In FMDV (Foot and Mouth disease Virus) endemic areas, annual production losses and vaccination estimated US$6.5-US$21 billion. Currently, the techniques which are used for diagnosis of FMD are Sandwich-ELISA (S-ELISA), Virus Isolation (VI), indirect ELISA (DIVA), real time-PCR and Liquid-Phase Blocking ELISA (LPBE) can be used for recognition of antibody against nonstructural proteins. The techniques which are used for quick and specific detection of FMDV are microarray and recombinant antigen-based detection, biosensor, Nucleotide sequencing, phage display and nucleic-acid-based diagnostic for serotyping. This review provides information about the FMD in Pakistan as many cases are reported in Pakistan and lead to morbidity and mortality of many animals. This review helps the reader to handle the cases practically in the field and helps the researchers to analyze the comprehensive picture of the FMD and in future researchers try to minimize such cases after reading this in Pakistan.
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Introduction
FMD is a major health problem of animals in all over the world [1]. It is the contagious TAD (transboundary animal disease) affecting the cloven-hoofed animals of wildlife and domesticated. Goats, sheep, cattle, pigs, and buffalo are vulnerable species of domesticated animals.
Aphthovirus is an RNA virus that has 7 different serotypes such as C, O, A, SAT1, SAT2, SAT3, and Asia1 as well as over 60 subtypes. There are 60 copies of structural proteins present in the capsid of FMDV. These proteins are VP2, VP3, VP1 and there are 8 nonstructural protein (3A, 3B, 3C, L, 2A, 2B, 2C and 3D) [2, 3]. The virus of this disease is also called foot and mouth disease virus. This disease is spread throughout the world but most affected regions are Africa, Asia, and the Middle East. FMD free countries are Australia, Japan and New Zealand [4]. The main route of transmission in ruminants is inhalation of droplets but inoculation with contaminated vaccines, ingestion of infected feed and insemination with contaminated semen can also produce infection. Animals which are effected via the respiratory tract, in the pharyngeal area and in the lungs replication of virus occur followed by viremic spread to other organs and tissue before the beginning of the clinical disease. Then the virus distributed throughout the body, and reach in different sites of the body which favor replication of virus like oral cavity, udder, heart, feet, and oro-pharynx [5]. Symptomatically, FMD is characterized by anorexia, fever, blisters on the mucous membranes particularly on mouth, feet, and udder [6]. By using several techniques we can detect FMD virus or viral antigens. In areas where the disease is endemic, routine vaccination is used. On other hand, disease-free countries never vaccinated their livestock but they preferred animal movement restriction, the slaughter of infected and suspected animals when outbreaks of FMD occur. In livestock, it is the most important disease which has a great effect on the economy because FMD causes not only loss of production but also limit the trade of animals both locally and internationally [7]. The occurrence of death is more in the young animals but in adults it having high morbidity and low mortality [8]. Recovered animal take a long time to cover their poor physical condition [9]. An outbreak of FMDV, there is rapid transmission within and between populations because in Vivo replication cycle of the virus is short (4–6 h) and acute onset of shedding (1–3 days) [10-12]. The movement of FMD infected animals should be restricted [13, 14].

Definition

FMD is defined as an infection of animals of the family Suidae, suborder Ruminantia and order Artiodactyla and Camelus bactrianus with FMDV [15]. It is a highly contagious, acute viral disease of cloven-footed pigs and animals characterized by vesicular eruptions in the mouth, teats and on the feet, anorexia, salivation and fever [16]. FMD is a notifiable livestock disease due to its trans-boundary distribution nature and high infectiousness [17].

Etiology

Foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) is the causative agent of FMD of genus Aphthovirus and family Picornaviridae. It is non-enveloped, single-strand RNA virus having 26 nm in diameter which presents in 7 major serotypes and 60 plus sub serotypes [5]. Geographical distribution of different FMD serotypes (Table 1).

Host species

FMD is a highly contagious disease. It infects the cloven-footed animals including pigs, cattle, goats, sheep and buffalo. Cloven hoofed wild animals such as antelope, wild pigs, elephant, cameldids and deer and also susceptible to FMD. Old world camels may show resistance against the natural infection with some strains. South American camels like llamas and alpacas are mildly susceptible. The strain of FMD which can infect the deer and wild pigs can also infect cattle. Guinea pigs, rats, mice and armadillos can be infected experimentally [18]. Although cats, dogs and horses can carry the virus on their hairs but are not susceptible to FMD [19].
Table 1. Geographical distribution of different FMD serotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Serotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>A,O,Asia1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>A,O,C,SAT1,SAT2,SAT3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>A,O,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>A,O,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>FMD free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and Central America</td>
<td>FMD free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>FMD free</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carrier state**
Van Bekkum first demonstrated that during the convalescent phase of FMD, live FMDV could be recovered from esophageal-pharyngeal fluids of cattle [20]. Carrier state of the virus in dairy cattle can last as long as 3 and a half years. In sheep and goats, the carrier state has also been identified [21]. African buffalo can carry the virus up to 5 years [22]. In esophageal-pharyngeal fluids of the carrier, the titer of virus is low and in individual animal virus not recovered constantly. To detect the carrier animals, the most sensitive method is the isolation of virus from esophageal-pharyngeal fluids but to increase sensitivity RT-PCR are being developed. In the pharynx, the recovered virus probably originates which appears to be the target area for persistent infection in cattle [20, 23].

**Pathogenesis**
The main route of infection is the respiratory tract. However, direct inoculation and ingestion of contaminated food have highly participated in the transmission of infection [1]. Transmission can also occur by contact, by mechanical carriage, by aerosols, by humans or vehicles and through animal products [25]. The virus can be recovered from almost all body secretions like tears, saliva, nasal, milk, vaginal, urine, feces, semen and the placenta of an aborted fetus. Temperature, humidity and PH are the main factors through which virus survive in these body secretions [1]. In temperate or sub-tropical climates virus can persist in the air for a longer period but not in the dry and hot climate. The direction and speed of the wind are significant factors in determining the rate of airborne spread. In favorable conditions, it is now estimated that the virus can spread by wind-borne as far as 250km [26]. Outbreaks of FMD mostly occur in country frequently during late spring and winter. Occurrence of FMD is lower in hilly then plains area [27, 28]. Etiology, method of transmission, clinical signs and survival rate of FMD (Fig. 1).

**Agent**
Common disinfectants having no effect on the virus. It may be found in gross lesions for more than one year to grow only in areas exposed to mechanical trauma or unusual physiological conditions such as feet, the epithelium of the mouth and teats. In young animals, particularly neonates, most of the time virus can cause necrotizing myocarditis and adults may also be infected with some
strains of this virus like type O [26]. In severe cases, ventricular fibrillation is the cause of death during heart attacks or dehydration or as a result of different bacterial complications [24]. Principal routes of FMD transmission between susceptible animals (Fig. 2).

**Clinical signs**
When vulnerable animals come in contact with infected animals, clinical signs may appear in 3 to 5 days, although, by natural infection, the incubation period may vary from 2 to 14 days. The severity of clinical signs of the disease depends on the exposure dose, age, and breed of the animal, the strain of the virus, the host species and its degree of immunity. FMD causes fever, excessive salivation, depression and the formation of vesicular type lesions on the mucous membrane of the gums, tongue, dental pad and the surface of udder teats, muzzle, coronary band and interdigital spaces [29, 30]. Lesions present on tongue take a few days to heal but which are present on feet and in the nasal cavity may lead to secondary bacterial infection resulting in mucopurulent discharge from the nasal cavity and prolonged lameness [6]. Due to necrotizing myocarditis, young animals may die before showing any vesicles. In lactating cow milk yield also drop due to the formation of vesicles on the skin of teats and udder which leads to mastitis [30]. Clinical signs of FMD (Fig. 3).

---

**Figure 1.** Etiology, method of transmission, clinical signs and survival rate of FMD
Figure 2. Principal routes of FMD transmission between susceptible animals

Clinical Signs of Foot and Mouth disease in Dairy Cattle
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Figure 3. Clinical Signs of FMD in Cattle

Morbidity and mortality rate
FMD virus can easily transmit to other animals and about 100% exposed animals become infected. The morbidity rate in FMD infected animals is 100%. However, the mortality rate is 20% in young animals and 2% in adults. The morbidity rate depends on sex, species and status of immunity. Self-recovery is the result of immunity against the serotype of the virus. Mostly, FMD occurs due to one type of virus and immunity remain limited against specific serotype, thus no immunity develops against another serotypes, so in endemic areas, it is the main reason behind occurrence of disease [31]. In most extreme cases, mortality in lambs and
suckling pigs ranges from 20-75% and it depends on age. Mortality is high in lower age animals like under 4 weeks of age but it decreases rapidly as animal age increase (more than 4 weeks). Most deaths occur due to slaughter policies that involves all suspected animals during an outbreak in endemic and developed countries [32].

**Post mortem finding**

Vesicular lesion and erosion of FMD present on udder, food and mouth. When the vesicles rupture, the formation of the eroded red area and then gray fibrinous covert this part. Color of coting becomes green or yellow then-new epithelium replace this area. Sometimes vesicles are not formed and fluid escape from the epidermis. The appearance of these “Dry” lesions are necrotic instead of vesicular. In the oral cavity of the pig, these Dry lesions are very common [33]. In case, if secondary bacterial infection present, the erosions become ulcerative. Tissues for histopathological examination should contain mucous membrane of oral cavity and skin containing vesicles or fresh erosions. The pancreas, mammary gland and heart should also be included. C. perfringens can also cause pneumonia and respiratory distress in FMD-infected cattle and buffalo [34].

**Diagnosis**

Diagnosis of FMD is based on clinical signs and lab findings [35]. For FMD virus detection following techniques are used

**Serological tests**

By detection of specific antibody response, the FMDV virus can be diagnosed. Commonly used tests are solid-phase ELIZA (Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay), CFT (Complement Fixation Test), RT-PCR (Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction), PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) and some non-structural protein antibody tests are also available such as enzyme-linked immune electrotransfer blot assay [36]. For detection of FMD virus antigens and serotype identification preferred procedure is ELIZA [9].

**Direct complement fixation test**

Before the development of techniques for virus isolation, Ciucu (1929) show that to detect the FMDV and serotype isolates, a direct complement fixation test could be used [37]. The basic concept of this method was based on virus–antibody complexes bind to the guinea pig-derived complement. In the presence of an anti-sheep RBC antibody, if the binding of the virus with an antibody does not occur, free complement cause the lyses of sheep red blood cells (RBC). FMDV antibodies are serotype-specific, so the identification of FMDV serotypes using the direct complement fixation test was possible [38].

**ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay)**

For antibody detection ELIZA is the most suitable test [39]. Although some methods that are based on virus isolation or nucleic acid in samples of tissue or the demonstration of FMD viral antigen or culture products is enough for a positive diagnosis. For the detection of FMD viral antigen, the ELISA using type-specific serological reagents is the preferred. For a faster approach to detect viral antigens, Sandwich ELISA is best but it has low sensitivity. Several researches are conducted to developing alternative assay systems that are more rapid for confirmation of clinical diagnosis and these methods can also perform ‘Pen side’ [40].

**RT-PCR (Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction):**

For specific detection and high sensitivity, reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) is used to diagnose the Foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV). Viral RNA can be detected by this test from different animal samples. This test can also be used for amplifying genome fragments of FMDV in sample material like milk, serum, epithelium and OP samples [41].
PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction):
For rapid identification of the virus, PCR technique is used. In diagnostic material to amplify the genome fragment of FMD virus RT-PCR (reverse-transcription PCR) can be used. Specific primers are available between each of the 7 serotypes [25, 9].

Virus isolation
With the help of ELIZA vesicular material of FMDV antigen can be detected. But if concentration of virus is too low to be detected by ELIZA, then we need to grow virus on susceptible cell culture [42]. The suspensions that are suspected to FMD virus are inoculated into cell cultures (primary pig kidney cells), incubated at 37°C and examined for CPE (cytopathic effect), 24 to 48 hrs. Post infection [43]. Disadvantage of virus isolation technique is unable to grow virus on specific cell type, so if there is absence of virus growth it’s not mean that virus is absent in collected sample. Other drawback of this technique are cell culture contamination, confirmation of virus growth by ELIZA and regular maintaining of cell supply [44].

Lab diagnosis
For diagnosis of FMD in an animal, the sample is collected from vesicular fluid or epithelium of suspected animal. In cattle sample of choice are lesions from buccal mucosa, tissue of tongue, wounds of hoofs or feet. Fluid filled vesicular wound in pig from hoof shall of coronary band, snout and tongue shall be collected [1].

Treatment
With mild disinfectants, infected animals can be treated topically and some broad-spectrum antibiotics can also be used parentally such as tetracycline [26]. However, the treatment of secondary bacterial infection and proper animal husbandry practices is recommended in endemic countries [9, 19].

Control and prevention
FMD required good preventive measures at the national and international level. FMD free countries should impose strict regulations on animals and animal products import from FMD infected countries [35]. To control the spread of FMD, vaccinations programs can also be used [1]. Import of animals and animal product from FMD infected countries should be banned when the disease is absent in the country. Preventive measures in case of the outbreak are immediate slaughter the infected animals and there should be no contact of the diseased animals with normal animals [7].

Disease outbreaks
FMD has been a considerable concern to many countries and due to fear of an outbreak of this disease, many research institutes were established. In particular, Lindholm Island in Denmark in 1925, the Insel Reims in Germany in 1909 and the Pirbright laboratory in the United Kingdom in 1924 were specifically opened to study on FMD [45]. In Europe, FMD outbreaks occurred sporadically in the early 20th centuries but their occurrence had shocking consequences [46]. Some in Western Europe, the experience of the outbreak was 104 to 105 per year by the early 1950s [47]. Disease controlled by disinfection, the slaughter of infected animals and inhibition of animal movement, at that time. An inactivated vaccine of FMD was developed in 1930 by Waldmann and colleagues in Germany [48]. The virus was inactivated by formalin aluminum hydroxide gel to produce the vaccine. The virus was collected from collecting epithelium, vesicular fluid and from infecting cattle at the slaughterhouse for the Waldmann vaccine [45]. By this method, the needed amount of vaccine was unable to produce, since only limited numbers of animals could be infected. The virus was produced by infecting bovine tongue epithelium, obtained at the time of slaughter of healthy animals that commercialization of FMD vaccine became a reality and this method was developed by Frenkel [49]. In
Western Europe, cattle vaccination with this product causes a great reduction in the cases of FMD [47].

**De-Population of infected animals**
The killing practice of animals which are suspected and affected by FMD in the herd, or in other herds which has been exposed to FMDV by direct animal to animal contact or by indirect contact likely to cause the transmission of the causal pathogen [50].

**Disinfection**
The virus is sensitive to common household bleach of concentration 3%. It is good to disinfect the properties but not a good choice for the disinfection of footpaths and equipment. Vinegar at 4-5% dilution can also be used to kill the virus. New disinfectants like Virkos S (surfactant/ organic acid/ per oxygen molecule combination) have a wide spectrum of activity against FMDV and many other germs [51].

**Vaccination**
Generally Killed trivalent vaccine (contain C, A and O serotypes) are used but it is more common practice to the production of vaccines from the locally isolated virus due to an increase in the number of antigenically dissimilar substrains. In endemic areas, for prophylactive protection, at least two vaccinations are recommended. The current FMD vaccine protects for 6 months. In 21-28 days vaccinated animals attained peak antibody response. Vaccination can also be used to protect specific animals [30]. During an outbreak, prevention of FMD from spreading can be done with the help of emergency vaccinations. There is continuous development of new vaccines but it is labor and difficult to develop a new vaccine whenever a new virus appears [52, 53, 54]. Therefore, many kinds of research have been conducted to develop advanced vaccines that can respond to evolving versions of the virus [55, 56]. Current problems with FMD vaccines include antigens losses during the process of purification, unable to maintain the antibodies, low immunogenicity and narrow protection range of the antigens [57].

**Economic impact of FMD**
FMD is a highly contagious Transboundary animal disease which effects the cloven-hoofed animals. Due to this character, the virus of FMD causes severe economic loss by restrictions of export trade and high morbidity. There is a rapid transmission of FMD within and between a wide range of susceptible host species, so this is a threat to small-scale farmers as well as multinational livestock industries [58, 59]. In many high-income countries, FMD has been eradicated but it is still present in middle and low-income countries [60]. FMD has low mortality but significant losses of production that may lead to important economic losses [61]. Although research has been conducted to understand the impact of FMD virus [62, 63]. Previous studies show that the introduction of FMD virus in FMD free countries cause a severe impact on national economies [64]. The economic impact of FMD can be divided into two components, direct impact and indirect impact. The direct impacts include reduced livestock growth, reduced milk production, problems with fertility, mortality in young stock and indirect impact includes additional cost like vaccination costs and movement control [65, 33]. Some governments have been considered the potential role of FMD in bioterrorism due to the relative case with which from outbreaks in endemic countries, highly contagious material can be obtained and severe economic losses in FMD free countries [66, 67]. In most parts of Africa, FMD is enzootic and some other countries have managed tools to control the disease [68, 69, 70]. A high rate of contact among animals at commercial markets and Extensive movement of livestock in watering points and communal grazing areas can cause the incidence of disease [64, 71, 72].

**Conclusion**
FMD is distributed all over the world. This disease having great economic importance because it not only reduce production but also restricts the trading of animals both locally and internationally. So the following points are recommended on the base of the above conclusions. During the outbreak of FMD, restrict the movement of the animal both across national and international boundaries to prevent the spread of the virus. Proper disposal of manure and dead animals. Government should regularly monitor the occurrence of an outbreak and should take necessary steps as soon as possible. In exiting heard, introduction of new animals should controlled. Controls on movement of livestock, vehicles and equipment’s are necessary. Key elements of effective disease management are information on the epidemiology and rapid diagnosis. Highly infected areas should be considered during control program. Periodic and Regular mass vaccination of animals (2 times in a year is compulsory).
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